arXiv:1504.07506v2 [math.GR] 29 Apr 2015

Minimal generation of transitive permutation groups

Gareth M. Tracey*

Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick,
Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom

April 28, 2015

Abstract

It is proved in [21] that there is a constant c such that each transitive permutation group of degree $d \geq 2$ can be generated by $\lfloor cd/\sqrt{\log d} \rfloor$ elements. In this paper, we explicitly estimate c.

1 Introduction

For a group G, let $d(G)$ denote the minimal number of elements required to generate G. It is proved by L. Kovács and M. Newman in [15] that, whenever G is a nilpotent transitive permutation group of degree d, we have $d(G) = O(d/\sqrt{\log d})$ (throughout, "log" means log to the base 2, while "ln" means log to the base e). This was extended to soluble transitive groups by R. Bryant, Kovács and G. Robinson in [4], and to permutation groups containing a soluble transitive subgroup by A. Lucchini in [19]. The result was then proved in full generality by Lucchini, F. Menegazzo and M. Morigi in [21]; there, it is shown that *there is a constant c such that $d(G) \leq \lfloor cd/\sqrt{\log d} \rfloor$, whenever G is a transitive permutation group of degree d.*

No attempts were made to estimate the optimal constants in any of the cases above, and so the purpose of this paper is to explicitly estimate c. Before stating our main result, we need the following non-standard definition: we say that a permutation group G has a 2-*block* if G is imprimitive with minimal block size 2. For $f \geq 2$, we say that G has f 2-blocks if G has a 2-block, and the induced action of G on a set of minimal blocks has $f-1$ 2-blocks. For example, $W := (C_2 \wr C_2 \wr \ldots \wr C_2) \wr S_n = C_2^{\wr f} \wr S_n$, has f 2-blocks, in its imprimitive action, while a primitive group has no 2-blocks. The main theorem can now be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree $d \geq 2$. Then $d(G) \leq \lfloor cd/\sqrt{\log d} \rfloor$, where $c := \sqrt{3}/2 = 0.866025\ldots$, unless each of the following conditions hold:*

(i) $d = 2^k 5$, where $v = 5$ and $17 \leq k \leq 26$, or $v = 15$ and $15 \leq k \leq 35$;

---

*Electronic address: `G.M.Tracey@warwick.ac.uk` *(ii) G contains no soluble transitive subgroups, and;*

(iii) G has at least f 2-blocks, where f is specified in the middle column of Table A.3.

In these exceptional cases, the bounds for $d(G)$ in Table A.3 hold.

When G is one of the exceptional cases of Theorem 1.1, we have $d(G) \leq \lfloor c_{d,f} d/\sqrt{\log d} \rfloor$, where $c_{d,f} \leq c_1 := 0.920584\ldots$ (the maximum occurs at $d = 2^{19}15$ and $f = 15$). Thus, we have

Corollary 1.2. *Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree d. Then $d(G) \leq \lfloor c_1 d/\sqrt{\log d} \rfloor$, where $c_1 := 0.920584\ldots$. Furthermore, if $d > 2^{35}15$, then $d(G) \leq \lfloor cd/\sqrt{\log d} \rfloor$, where c is as in Theorem 1.1.*

The following is also immediate from Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.3. *Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree d, containing a soluble transitive subgroup. Then $d(G) \leq \lfloor cd/\sqrt{\log d} \rfloor$, where c is as in Theorem 1.1.*

As shown in [15], apart from the choice of constants, the bounds in our results are of the right order. Moreover, the infimum of the set of constants $\overline{c}$ satisfying $d(G) \leq \overline{c} d/\sqrt{\log d}$, for all soluble transitive permutation groups G of degree $d \geq 2$, is the constant c in Theorem 1.1, since $d(G) = 4$ when $d = 8$ and $G \cong D_8 \circ D_8$. We conjecture that the best asymptotic bound is $d(G) \leq \lfloor \widetilde{c} n/\sqrt{\log n} \rfloor$, where $\widetilde{c}$ is some constant with $b/2 \leq \widetilde{c} < b := \sqrt{2/\pi}$ (see Example 6.2 for more details).

When G is primitive, the proof of the theorem follows immediately from a result of D. Holt and C. Roney-Dougal, which we state as Theorem 2.2. The bulk of the paper is therefore taken up by considering imprimitive G. In this case, a structure theorem of Suprunenko states that G may be embedded as a subgroup in a wreath product $R \wr S_n$, where R is a primitive permutation group, and $n < d$. This leads to an inductive proof of Theorem 1.1, which we complete in Section 6. In Section 2, we list some preliminary results, and prove a theorem on minimally transitive permutation groups of degree $2^m 3$. Section 3 contains a necessary discussion of antichains in partially ordered sets, while Section 4 is the critical step of the paper; there, we use ideas of Lucchini to obtain bounds on the cardinality of a minimal generating set for submodules of induced modules in arbitrary finite groups. In Section 5, we apply these ideas to wreath products of permutation groups. Appendix A contains three tables; the first gives the maximum values of $d(G)$ among the transitive permutation groups of degree up to 32; the second lists the chief factors of the primitive groups of degrees 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12 and 16; while the third gives bounds on $d(G)$ for some specific permutation groups G. Finally, we use Appendix B to prove Theorem 1.1 in the case when G is imprimitive of minimal block size at most 9.

All of our proofs are theoretical, although we do use the database of the transitive groups of degree up to 32 (see [14] and [5]) to construct Table A.1, and the database of the primitive groups of degree up to 4095 (see [7]). Both are available in MAGMA.

Notation: For group names, we mainly adopt the 𝔸𝕋𝕃𝔸𝕊 [6] notation, although we will occasionally write C_n, rather than n, for the cyclic group of order n. The following constants will be used throughout the paper:

b	$\sqrt{2/\pi} = 0.797885\ldots$
b_1	$\sqrt{2}b = 1.12838\ldots$
c	$\sqrt{3}/2 = 0.866025\ldots$
c_1	$1512660\sqrt{\log(2^{19}15)}/(2^{19}15) = 0.920581\ldots$
c_0	$\log_9 48 + (1/3)\log_9 24 = 2.24399\ldots$
c'	$\ln 2/1.25506 = 0.552282\ldots$
b_0	$2/c' = 3.62134\ldots$

For a group G, let $a(G)$ denote the composition length of G, let $a_{ab}(G)$ denote the number of abelian composition factors of G, and let $c_{nonab}(G)$ denote the number of nonabelian chief factors of G. Also, for $n \geq 1$, define $d_{trans}(n)$ to be the maximum of $d(G)$ as G runs over the transitive groups of degree n, and $a_{prim}(n)$ to be the maximum of $a(G)$, as G runs over the primitive groups of degree n. Finally, for a prime p and a positive integer n, with prime factorisation $n = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} p^{n(p)}$, we will write $n_p = p^{n(p)}$; $\text{lpp}\,(n)$ will denote the maximum of n_p over all primes p.

The author is hugely indebted to his supervisor Professor D. Holt for many useful discussions and suggestions; without them, this paper would not be possible.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Bounds on composition lengths and minimal generating sets for primitive groups

To apply the results of Section 4, we shall need an upper bound on the composition length of a primitive group, in terms of its degree. This is provided by the first theorem, which is stated slightly differently from how it is stated in [22].

Theorem 2.1 ([22], Theorem 2.10). *Let R be a primitive permutation group of degree $m \geq 2$. Then $a_{ab}(R) \leq (1 + c_0)\log m - (1/3)\log 24$, and $c_{nonab}(R) \leq \log n$.*

We shall also require the theorem of Holt and Roney-Dougal mentioned in the introduction.

Theorem 2.2 ([13], Theorem 1.1). *Let H be a subnormal subgroup of a primitive permutation group of degree m. Then $d(H) \leq \lfloor \log m \rfloor$, except that $d(H) = 2$ when $m = 3$ and $H \cong S_3$.*

We also have the following easy consequence.

Corollary 2.3. *Let G be an imprimitive permutation group of degree d, and minimal block size $m \geq 2$. Fix a minimal block Δ, and let S denote the induced action of G on the distinct G-translates of Δ. Then $d(G) \leq n\lfloor \log m \rfloor + d(S)$, where $n := d/m$.*

Proof. Let R be the induced action of the block stabiliser G_Δ on Δ, let K be the kernel of the action of G on the G-translates of Δ, and let A_K denote the induced action of K on Δ. Then $A_K \trianglelefteq R$, and hence, by Theorem 2.2, each normal subgroup of A_K can be generated by $\lfloor \log m \rfloor$ elements. Thus, since K is an iterated subdirect product of n copies of A_K, and $G/K \cong S$, the claim follows. □

2.2 Minimally transitive groups

We shall also require some observations on minimally transitive groups. Recall that a transitive permutation group H is *minimally transitive* if every proper subgroup of H is intransitive. Thus, if H is transitive and A is a point stabiliser in H, then H is minimally transitive if and only if $X \leq H$, $AX = H$ implies that $X = H$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a transitive subgroup of S_n ($n \geq 1$), let $1 \neq M$ be a normal subgroup of G, and let Ω be the set of M-orbits. Then*

- *(i) Either M is transitive, or Ω forms a system of blocks for G. In particular, the size of an M-orbit divides n.*
- *(ii) $|\Omega| = |G : AM|$, where A is a point stabiliser in G.*
- *(iii) If G is minimally transitive, then G^{Ω} acts minimally transitively on Ω.*

Proof. Part (i) is clear, so we prove (ii): if M is transitive, then $AM = G$, so $|\Omega| = 1 = |G : AM|$. Otherwise, part (i) implies that the size of each M-orbit is $|M : M \cap A| = |AM : A|$, so the number of M-orbits is $n/|AM : A| = |G : AM|$. Part (ii) follows. Finally, part (iii) is Theorem 2.4 in [8]. □

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be a minimally transitive permutation group of degree 2^m3. Then one of the following holds:*

- *(i) G is soluble, or;*
- *(ii) G has a unique nonabelian chief factor, which is a direct product of copies of $L_2(p)$, where $p \geq 31$ is a Mersenne prime.*

Proof. Let G be a counterexample of minimal degree, let A be the stabiliser in G of a point α, and let M be a minimal normal subgroup of G. Then G^{Ω} acts minimally transitively on Ω, by Lemma 2.4. Note in particular that, if $|G : AM|$ is a power of 2, then G^{Ω} is a 2-group. Indeed, a point stabiliser and a Sylow 2-subgroup of G^{Ω} will have coprime index in G^{Ω} in this case. But G^{Ω} is minimally transitive, and so the only supplement to a point stabilizer in G^{Ω} is G^{Ω} itself. Thus, G^{Ω} is a 2-group.

Thus, since $|\Omega| = |G : AM|$ divides $|G : A| = 2^m3$, and is less than 2^m3, the minimality of G (as a counterexample) implies that $G^{\Omega} = G/K$ satisfies either (i) or (ii), where $K := \ker(G^{\Omega})$. Let Δ be the M-orbit containing α, and let G_{Δ} be its (set-wise) stabiliser in G. Since M acts transitively on Δ and M, $A \leq G_{\Delta}$, we have $MA = G_{\Delta}$. Now let L be a subgroup of G minimal with the property that $KL = G$. Then $L^{\Omega} = L/L \cap K$ is transitive, so the minimal transitivity of G^{Ω} implies that $L^{\Omega} = G^{\Omega}$. Also, $L \cap K$ is contained in the Frattini subgroup of L, and hence is soluble, so L also satisfies (i) or (ii). Note also that, as remarked above, if $|G : AM|$ is a power pf 2, then $L^{\Omega} = G^{\Omega}$ is a 2-group). Now, in general, since $G = LK \leq LG_{\Delta} = LMA$, we have

$G = LMA$, and LM is a transitive subgroup of G. Thus, $LM = G$ by minimal transitivity, and hence M is insoluble.

Thus, M is isomorphic to a direct product $T_1 \times T_2 \times \ldots \times T_k$ of k copies of a nonabelian simple group T. Since $LM = G$ and G is a counterexample to the theorem, one of the following must hold:

(a) $T \not\cong L_2(p)$, for any Mersenne prime p, or;

(b) $T \cong L_2(p)$ for some Mersenne prime p, and 3 divides $|G : AM|$.

By renumbering the T_i if necessary, we may assume that $\ker(M^{\Delta}) = T_{r+1} \times T_{r+2} \times \ldots \times T_k$, and $M^{\Delta} = T_1^{\Delta} \times \ldots \times T_r^{\Delta}$, where $r \leq k$, and each T_i^{Δ} is isomorphic to T.

Step 1. *Fix $1 \leq i \leq r$, let $T = T_i$, and let Γ be the T-orbit in Δ containing α. Then:*

(1) The induced action of T on Γ is permutation isomorphic to the induced action of T on Γ' for any T-orbit Γ' in Δ.

(2) The induced action of T on Γ is permutation isomorphic to one of the following:

- *(a) M_{11} in its action on the cosets of a subgroup of index 12;*
- *(b) M_{12}, M_{24} or A_r in their natural actions on 12, 24 and r points respectively, where r divides $2^m 3$, or;*
- *(c) $L_2(p)$ in its action on the cosets of a subgroup of 2-power index, contained in the maximal subgroup $V = C_p \rtimes C_{(p-1)/2} < L_2(p)$, where p is a Mersenne prime.*

Proof. Part (1) follows immediately from Lemma 2.4 part (i), since $T^{\Delta} \trianglelefteq M^{\Delta}$, and M^{Δ} is transitive. So we just need to prove (2): let $X = T \cap A$, so that $|\Gamma| = |T : X|$. Part (1) implies that $|T : X| > 1$, since T acts faithfully on Δ. Moreover, Lemma 2.4 part (i) implies that $|T : X| = |T^{\Delta} : X^{\Delta}|$ divides $|\Delta|$, and $|\Delta|$ divides $2^m 3$, so $|T : X|$ divides $2^m.3$; write $|T : X| = 2^i 3^j$, with $i \leq m$, $j \leq 1$. The classification of the maximal subgroups of the simple classical groups of dimension up to 12 then implies that T is not $L_2(8)$, $L_3(3)$, $U_3(3)$ or $Sp_4(8)$ (see Tables 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5, 8.6 and 8.14 in [3]). Assume first that $T \not\cong L_2(p)$ for a Mersenne prime p. Then Corollary 6 of [17] gives $\pi(X) = \pi(T)$ (where $\pi(F)$, for a finite set F, denotes the set of prime divisors of $|F|$), and the possibilities for T and X are as follows (see Table 10.7 of [17]):

(1) $T = A_r$, $A_k \trianglelefteq X \leq S_k \times S_{r-k}$. Then $|A_r : A_r \cap (S_k \times S_{r-k})| = \binom{r}{k}$ divides $|T : X| = 2^i 3^j$. But a well-known theorem of Sylvester and Schur (see [12]) states that either $\binom{r}{k} = 0$ or $\binom{r}{k}$ has a prime divisor exceeding $\min\{k, r-k\}$. Thus, either $k = 1$, 2, $r-1$ or $r-2$. Either way we get $X = A_{r-1}$, which gives us what we need.

(2) $T = PSp_{2m}(q)$ (m, q even) or $P\Omega_{2m+1}(q)$ (m even, q odd), and $\Omega^-_{2m}(q) \trianglelefteq X$. Then $X \leq N_T(\Omega^-_{2m}(q))$, so $|T : N_T(\Omega^-_{2m}(q))|$ divides $|T : X| = 2^i 3^j$. But $|N_T(\Omega^-_{2m}(q)) : \Omega^-_{2m}(q)| \leq 2$ using Corollary 2.10.4 part (i) and Table 2.1.D of [16]. Hence, $|T : \Omega^-_{2m}(q)|$ divides $2^{i+1} 3^j$.

Also, for each of the two choices of T we get $|T : \Omega^-_{2m}(q)| = q^m(q^m - 1)$. But $q^m(q^m - 1)$ cannot be of the form 2^f or 2^f3, since $m > 1$ and $(m, q) \neq (2, 2)$ (as T is simple). Therefore, we have a contradiction.

(3) $T = P\Omega^+_{2m}(q)$ (m even, q odd), and $\Omega_{2m-1}(q) \trianglelefteq X$. As above, $X \leq N_T(\Omega_{2m-1}(q))$, and we use Corollary 2.10.4 part (i) and Table 2.1.D of [16] to conclude that $|N_T(\Omega_{2m-1}(q)) : \Omega_{2m-1}(q)| \leq 2$. It follows that $\frac{1}{2}q^{m-1}(q^m - 1) = |T : \Omega_{2m-1}(q)|$ divides $2^{i+1}3^j$. This again gives a contradiction, since $m \geq 4$.

(4) $T = PSp_4(q)$ and $PSp_2(q^2) \trianglelefteq X$. Then $X \leq N_T(\Omega_{2m-1}(q))$, and Corollary 2.10.4 part (i) and Table 2.1.D of [16] gives $|N_T(PSp_2(q^2)) : PSp_2(q^2)| \leq 2$. It follows that $q^2(q^2 - 1) = |T : PSp_2(q^2)|$ divides $2^{i+1}3^j$. Again, this is impossible.

(5) In each of the remaining cases (see Table 10.7 in [16]), we are given a pair (T, Y), where T is $L_2(8)$, $L_3(3)$, $L_6(2)$, $U_3(3)$, $U_3(3)$, $U_3(5)$, $U_4(2)$, $U_4(3)$, $U_5(2)$, $U_6(2)$, $PSp_4(7)$, $PSp_4(8)$, $PSp_6(2)$, $P\Omega^+_8(2)$, $G_2(3)$, ${}^2F_4(2)'$, M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{24}, HS, M_cL, Co_2 or Co_3, and Y is a subgroup of T containing X. Apart from when $T = M_{11}$, M_{12} and M_{24}, we find that $|T : Y|$ does not divide 2^i3^j, so we get a contradiction in each case. When $T = M_{11}$, M_{12} or M_{24}, the only possibilities for X are $X = L_2(11) \leq M_{11}$ (of index 12), $X = M_{11} \leq M_{12}$ (of index 12), or $X = M_{23} \leq M_{24}$ (of index 24).

Finally, assume that $T \cong L_2(p)$, for some Mersenne prime p, and let V be a maximal subgroup of T containing X. Then (b) must hold, so $|T : X| = 2^e$ for some $e \geq 1$. In particular, since $|T : V|$ divides $|T : X|$, we must have (see Table 8.1 of [3]) $V \cong C_p \rtimes C_{(p-1)/2}$. This completes Step 1. ☐

Step 2. *Let $T = T_1$ and let Γ be the T-orbit containing α. Then there exists a proper subgroup H of T with the following properties:*

(i) H and H^α are conjugate in T for each automorphism $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(T)$, and;.

(ii) $N_T(H)^\Gamma$ acts transitively on Γ.

Proof. Let $X := T \cap A$, and identify Γ with the right cosets of X. By Step 1, the possibilities for the pair (T, X) are as follows:

1. $(T, X) = (A_r, A_{r-1})$, with r dividing 2^m3: Since T is nonabelian simple, $r \geq 6$, so r is even. If r is a power of 2, let H be a Sylow 2-subgroup of T. Then H^Γ itself is transitive, and properties (i) and (ii) are clearly satisfied.

   Otherwise, let $H = \langle (1, 2, 3), (4, 5, 6), \ldots , (r - 1, r - 2, r)\rangle$. Then $N_T(H)^\Gamma$ is transitive. Thus, (ii) is satisfied. Property (i) is also easily seen to be satisfied (this includes the case $r = 6$, when $\mathrm{Out}\,(A_6)$ has order 4).

2. $(T, X) = (M_{11}, L_2(11))$: Let H be a Sylow 3-subgroup of T. Then $N_T(H) \cong M_9 : 2$ (see page 18 of the 𝔸𝕋𝕃𝔸𝕊 of finite groups [6]) acts transitively on the cosets of X. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(M_{11}) = \mathrm{Inn}(M_{11})$, (i) and (ii) are satisfied.

3. $(T, X) = (M_{12}, M_{11})$ or (M_{24}, M_{23}): In each case, let H be a subgroup of T generated by a fixed point free element of order 3. When $T = M_{12}$, $N_T(H) \cong A_4 \times S_3$ (see page 18 of the $\mathbb{ATLAS}$ [6]) is a maximal subgroup of T, and acts transitively on the cosets of X. Also, the unique nonidentity outer automorphism of M_{12} fixes the set of T-conjugates of H, so both (i) and (ii) are satisfied.

   When $T = M_{24}$, $N_T(H)$ has order 1008, and acts transitively on the cosets of X (using MAGMA, for example). Also, $\mathrm{Out}\,(T)$ is trivial. Thus, (i) and (ii) are again satisfied.

4. $T = L_2(p)$, with p a Mersenne prime, $X \leq C_p \rtimes C_{(p-1)/2}$, and $|T : X| = 2^e$, for some $e \geq 1$. Let H be a Sylow 2-subgroup of T. Then (i) is clearly satisfied. Also, $|T : X|$ and $|T : H|$ are coprime, so $XH = T$, and (ii) is satisfied.

□

Let $T = T_1$, and let $H \leq T$ and Γ be as in Step 2. If Γ' is any other T-orbit in Δ, then $N_T(H)$ acts transitively on Γ', since T^Γ is permutation isomorphic to $T^{\Gamma'}$ by Step 1, and $N_T(H)^\Gamma$ is transitive by Step 2.

Now, identify H as a subgroup H_i of T_i for each i, and set $\widetilde{H} = H_1 \times H_2 \times \ldots \times H_k < M$.

Step 3. $N_M(\widetilde{H})^\Delta$ *acts transitively on* Δ.

Proof. For each i, set $N_i = N_{T_i}(H_i)$. By the remarks above, N_i acts transitively on Γ' for each T_i-orbit Γ' in Δ, and each $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $N := N_1 \times N_2 \times \ldots \times N_k$. Then $N \leq N_M(\widetilde{H})$, so $N_1^\Delta \times N_2^\Delta \times \ldots \times N_r^\Delta = N^\Delta \leq N_M(\widetilde{H})^\Delta$.

We claim that N^Δ acts transitively on Δ. To see this, let α and β be points in Δ, and let $m \in M$ such that $\alpha^m = \beta$. Since $M^\Delta = T_1^\Delta \times \ldots \times T_r^\Delta$, we may write $m = t_1 t_2 \ldots t_r$, with $t_i \in T_i^\Delta$. Since N_1^Δ acts transitively on the T_1-orbit of α, there exists $n_1 \in N_1^\Delta$ such that $\alpha^{t_1} = \alpha^{n_1}$. We now inductively define the permutations $n_2, \ldots, n_r$ by choosing $n_i \in N_i^\Delta$ such that $(\alpha^{n_1 \ldots n_{i-1}})^{n_i} = \alpha^{n_1 \ldots n_{i-1} t_i}$ (this is possible since N_i^Δ acts transitively on the T_i-orbit of $\alpha^{n_1 \ldots n_{i-1}}$ in Δ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta = \alpha^{t_1 t_2 \ldots t_r} &= (\alpha^{t_1})^{t_2 \ldots t_r} = \alpha^{n_1 t_2 \ldots t_r} = (\alpha^{n_1 t_2})^{t_3 \ldots t_r} \\ &= \alpha^{n_1 n_2 t_3 \ldots t_r} = (\alpha^{n_1 n_2 t_3})^{t_4 \ldots t_r} = \ldots = \alpha^{n_1 n_2 \ldots n_r} \end{aligned}$$

This proves that N^Δ acts transitively on Δ, and completes Step 3. □

Finally, let $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}\,(M) \cong \mathrm{Aut}\,(S) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)$. Then there exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}\,(k)$ and $\alpha_i \in \mathrm{Aut}\,(T)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{H}^\theta &= H_{1^\sigma}^{\alpha_1} \times H_{2^\sigma}^{\alpha_2} \times \ldots \times H_{k^\sigma}^{\alpha_k} \\ &= H_1^{t_1} \times H_2^{t_2} \times \ldots \times H_k^{t_k} = \widetilde{H}^{t_1 t_2 \ldots t_k} \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality above follows from Step 3, with some $t_i \in T_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. (The second equality follows since $H_{1^\sigma} \times \ldots \times H_{k^\sigma} = H_1 \times \ldots \times H_k$.) Thus, $\widetilde{H}$ and $\widetilde{H}^\theta$ are conjugate in

M for all $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}\,(M)$. The Frattini argument (in its most general form) then implies that $G = N_G(\widetilde{H})M$. Thus, $N_G(\widetilde{H})$ acts transitively on the set Ω of M-orbits. But $N_G(\widetilde{H})$ also acts transitively on the fixed M-orbit Δ, by Step 3 above. Hence, $N_G(\widetilde{H})$ is a transitive subgroup of G. By minimal transitivity of G, it follows that $N_G(\widetilde{H}) = G$, so $\widetilde{H}$ is normal in G. But this is a contradiction, since $1 < \widetilde{H} < M$ and M is a minimal normal subgroup of G. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.5. □

The proof of Theorem 2.5 allows us to deduce a number of corollaries. First, we need a lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *Let p be a Mersenne prime, and let $M = T_1 \times T_2 \times \ldots T_r$, where each $T_i \cong L_2(p)$. Also, let A be a subgroup of M such that $|M : A| = 2^a 3$, for some a, and $|T_i : T_i \cap A| = 3(p+1)$ for all i. Then*

(i) $|M : A| = 3(p+1)^r$.

(ii) If P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G, then $N_M(P)$ is soluble, and has precisely 2^r orbits on the (right) cosets of A in M, with $\binom{r}{k}$ orbits of size $3p^k$, for each k, $0 \leq k \leq r$.

Proof. We first prove part (i) by induction on r. If $r = 1$ then the claim is trivial, so assume that $r > 1$, and let $M_i := T_1 \times \ldots \times T_{i-1} \times T_{i+1} \times \ldots \times T_r$, for each i. Also, write $A_i = A \cap M_i$, for each i. Then $|T_j : T_j \cap A_i| = |T_j : T_j \cap M_i \cap A| = |T_j : T_j \cap A_i| = 3(p+1)$ for each $j \neq i$. Also, $|M_i : A_i| = |M_i A : A|$ divides $|M : A|$, and is divisible by $|T_j : T_j \cap A_i| = |T_j A_i : A_i|$ for each $j \neq i$, so $|M_i : A_i| = 2^{b_i}3$, for some $b_i \leq a$. Hence, the inductive hypothesis implies that $|M_i : A_i| = 3(p+1)^{r-1}$, for each i.

Now, fix $1 \leq i \leq r$, and assume that the claim in part (i) does not hold. Then since $(p+1)^r$ is the highest power of 2 dividing $|M|$, $|M : A|$ is of the form $2^a 3$, and $|M_i A : A| = |M_i : A_i| = 3(p+1)^{r-1}$, we must have $|M : M_i A| < p+1$. Hence, if $\rho_i : M \to T_i$ denotes projection onto T_i, then $|T_i : \rho_i(A)| = |\rho_i(M) : \rho_i(M_i A)| = |M : M_i A| < p+1$. But, as can be readily checked using Tables 8.1 and 8.2 in [3], since $p \geq 31$, no maximal subgroup of $L_2(p)$ can have index strictly less than $p+1$. Thus, we must have $M_i A = M$, so A projects onto T_i. But then $A \cap T_i$ is a normal subgroup of T_i, so $A \cap T_i = 1$ or T_i. This contradicts $|T_i : A \cap T_i| = 3(p+1)$, and part (i) follows.

Finally, we prove (ii). Let $N := N_M(P)$. From the proof of Theorem 2.5, we can see that $T_i \cap A$ is contained in the maximal subgroup $V_i := C_p \rtimes C_{(p-1)/2}$ of T_i. Thus, since $|T_i : T_i \cap A| = 3(p+1)$, $T_i \cap A$ has a normal Sylow p-subgroup $P_i \cong C_p$. Let $P' := P_1 \times \ldots \times P_r$, so that P' is a Sylow p-subgroup of M. Since N and $N_M(P)$ are conjugate in M, we may assume that $N = N_M(P)$ and $P' = P$. Since $V_i = N_{T_i}(P_i)$ is soluble, it follows that $N = V_1 \times \ldots \times V_r$ is soluble. Also, $P \trianglelefteq A$, so $A \leq N$.

Suppose first that $r = 1$. Then $|M : A| = 3(p+1)$, so A has index 3 in N, since $|M : N| = |M : V_1| = p+1$. Let $x \in M \backslash N$, and let Γ be the N-orbit corresponding to Ax. Then $|\Gamma| = |N : N \cap A^x| = \frac{|M:N \cap A^x|}{|M:N|}$. Since $|M : N|$ is a power of 2 and $|M : N \cap A^x|$ is divisible by $|M : A^x| = 3(p+1)$, it follows that 3 divides $|\Gamma|$. Also, as mentioned above, A^x and N contain the unique Sylow p-subgroups P^x and P, respectively. Since x does not normalise P, we have $P^x \neq P$, so p, and hence $3p$, divides $|N : N \cap A^x| = |\Gamma|$. Since $|N : A| = 3$ and $|M : A| = 3(p+1)$, it follows that $|\Gamma| = 3p$, which proves the claim in the case $r = 1$.

We now consider the general case. Let $B := (A \cap T_1) \times \ldots \times (A \cap T_r) \leq A$. From the previous parargraph, we see that V_i has precisely two orbits on the cosets of $A \cap T_i$ in T_i, of size 3 and $3p$, represented by A and Ax_i respectively, where $x_i \in T_i \backslash V_i$. It is now clear that $N = V_1 \times \ldots \times V_r$ has 2^r orbits on the cosets of B, represented by $Bt_1t_2 \ldots t_r$, where $t_i \in \{1, x_i\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Also, the orbit represented by the coset $Bt_1t_2 \ldots t_r$ has cardinality $3^r p^k$, where k is the number of subscripts i with $t_i \neq 1$.

Since $B \leq A$, N has at most 2^r orbits on the cosets of A. But also, if there exist t_i, $\widetilde{t_i} \in \{1, x_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $n = n_1 n_2 \ldots n_r \in N$ (with $n_i \in V_i$), such that $At_1t_2 \ldots t_r = A(\widetilde{t_1}\widetilde{t_2} \ldots \widetilde{t_r})(n_1 n_2 \ldots n_r)$, then $t_i = a_i \widetilde{t_i} n_i$, where $a_1 a_2 \ldots a_r \in A$. Since $A \leq N$, it follows that $t_i = 1$ if and only if $\widetilde{t_i} = 1$. Hence, $t_1 t_2 \ldots t_r = \widetilde{t_1}\widetilde{t_2} \ldots \widetilde{t_r}$. Thus, N has precisely 2^r orbits on the cosets of A in M, represented by $At_1 \ldots t_r$, where $t_i \in \{1, x_i\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Since the size of the N-orbit corresponding to $A^{t_1 t_2 \ldots t_r}$ is

$$|N : N \cap A^{t_1 t_2 \ldots t_r}| = \frac{|N : N \cap B^{t_1 t_2 \ldots t_r}|}{|N \cap A^{t_1 t_2 \ldots t_r} : N \cap B^{t_1 t_2 \ldots t_r}|} \geq \frac{|N : N \cap A^{t_1 t_2 \ldots t_r}}{|A^{t_1 t_2 \ldots t_r} : B^{t_1 t_2 \ldots t_r}|}$$

and $|A^{t_1 t_2 \ldots t_r} : B^{t_1 t_2 \ldots t_r}| = |A : B| = |N : B|/|N : A| = 3^{r-1}$, it now follows that

$$|N : N \cap A^{t_1 t_2 \ldots t_r}| = \frac{|N : N \cap B^{t_1 t_2 \ldots t_r}|}{3^{r-1}} = 3p^k$$

where k is the number of subscripts i such that $t_i \neq 1$. This proves (ii). ☐

Corollary 2.7. *Let G be an insoluble, minimally transitive permutation group of degree $2^m 3$, and let $p := 2^e - 1 \geq 31$ be a Mersenne prime such that G has a unique nonabelian chief factor isomorphic to a direct product of k copies of $L_2(p)$. Then there exists a triple (r, t_1, t), with $r \geq 1$, and $t \geq t_1 \geq 0$, such that*

(i) $m = er + t$, and;

(ii) For some soluble subgroup N of G, N has 2^{r+t_1} orbits, with $\binom{r}{k} 2^{t_1}$ of them of length $3p^k \times 2^{t-t_1}$, for each k, $0 \leq k \leq r$.

Proof. Let M be a minimal normal subgroup of G, let Δ be an M-orbit, let α be a point in Δ, let A be the stabiliser of α in G, and let Ω be the set of M-orbits. Also, let K be the kernel of the action of G on Ω, and let H be a subgroup of G minimal with the property that $KH = G$. Then $G^\Omega = H^\Omega = H/H \cap K$ is minimally transitive, by Lemma 2.4 part (i). In particular, H^Ω is transitive so $MH = G$, by the minimal transitivity of G. Note also that $H \cap K$ is contained in the Frattini subgroup of H, so $H \cap K$ is soluble.

We now prove the claim by induction on m. If M is abelian, then since $MH = G$ and $H \cap K$ is soluble, $G^\Omega = H^\Omega$ is insoluble. Since every minimally transitive group of prime power degree is soluble, it follows that $|\Omega| = 2^n 3$ and $|\Delta| = 2^{m-n}$, for some n with $1 \leq n < m$. Hence, the inductive hypothesis implies that there exists a triple $(\widetilde{r}, \widetilde{t_1}, \widetilde{t})$ such that

1. $m = e\widetilde{r} + \widetilde{t}$, and;
2. For some soluble subgroup $\widetilde{N}$ of H^{Ω}, $\widetilde{N}$ has $2^{\widetilde{r}+\widetilde{t_1}}$ orbits, with $\binom{\widetilde{r}}{k}2^{\widetilde{t_1}}$ of them of length $3p^k \times 2^{\widetilde{t}-\widetilde{t_1}}$, for each k, $0 \leq k \leq \widetilde{r}$.

Set $r := \widetilde{r}$, $t := m - n + \widetilde{t}$, and $t_1 := \widetilde{t_1}$. Also, let $X \leq H$ such that $X^{\Omega} = \widetilde{N}$, and set $N := MX$. Then $m = er + t$, which is what we need for (i). Also, N is soluble, and acts transitively on each M-orbit, since $M \leq N$. Since each M-orbit has size 2^{m-n}, it follows that N has 2^{r+t_1} orbits, with $\binom{r}{k} \times 2^{t_1}$ of them of length $3p^k 2^{\widetilde{t}-\widetilde{t_1}+m-n} = 3p^k 2^{t-t_1}$. This gives us what we need.

So assume that G has no abelian minimal normal subgroups. Then $M = T_1 \times T_2 \times \ldots \times T_k$, where each $T_i \cong L_2(p)$, and M is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G. From the proof of Theorem 2.5, we can see that 3 divides $|T_i : T_i \cap A|$, and $T_i \cap A$ is contained in the maximal subgroup $V_i \cong C_p \rtimes C_{(p-1)/2}$ of T_i, so that $|T_i : T_i \cap A|$ is divisible by $3(p+1)$, for each i, $1 \leq i \leq r$. But also, $p+1$ is the highest power of 2 dividing $|T_i|$, and $|T_i : T_i \cap A|$ divides $2^m 3$, so $|T_i : T_i \cap A| = 3(p+1)$, for each i. Lemma 2.6 now implies that $|\Delta| = |M : M \cap A| = 3(p+1)^r = 2^{er}3$, where r is the number of direct factors of M acting non-trivially on Δ. It also follows that $|\Omega| = 2^{m-er}$.

By relabeling the T_i if necessary, we may write $M := T_1^{\Delta} \times T_2^{\Delta} \times \ldots \times T_r^{\Delta}$. Now, let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of M, and let $N := N_M(P)$. By Lemma 2.6 part (ii), N is soluble, and $N_M(P)^{\Delta} = N_{M^{\Delta}}(P^{\Delta})$ has 2^r orbits on Δ, with $\binom{r}{k}$ of size $3p^k$, for each $0 \leq k \leq r$. Since the action of M on each M-orbit is permutation isomorphic to the action of M on Δ, it follows that $N := N_M(P)$ has 2^r orbits on each M-orbit, with $\binom{r}{k}$ of size $3p^k$, for each $0 \leq k \leq r$. Also, N acts trivially on the set Ω of M-orbits, so N has 2^{r+m-er} orbits in total, with $2^{m-er}\binom{r}{k}$ of them of size $3p^k$, for each $0 \leq k \leq r$. Setting $t := m - er$ and $t_1 := t$ now gives us what we need, and completes the proof. ☐

2.3 The prime counting function

For a positive integer n and a prime p, recall that n_p denotes the p-part of n, and that $\operatorname{lpp} n = \max_{p \text{ prime}} n_p$ denotes the largest prime power divisor of n.

Fix $n \geq 2$, and let $k = \operatorname{lpp} n$. By writing the prime factorization of n as $n = kp_2^{r_2} \ldots p_t^{r_t}$, one immediately sees that $n \leq k^{\delta(k)}$, where $\delta(k)$ denotes the number of primes less than or equal to k. Hence, $\log n \leq \delta(k) \log k$. Also, it is proved in Corollary 1 of [23] that

$$\delta(k) < 1.25506k/\ln k$$

for $k \geq 2$. We therefore deduce the following:

Lemma 2.8. *Let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$\operatorname{lpp} n \geq (\ln 2/1.25506) \log n = c' \log n$$

3 Induced modules for finite soluble groups

For a group H and a H-module M, denote by $d_H(M)$ the minimal number of elements required to generate M as a H-module. In [4], R. Bryant, L. Kovács and G. Robinson prove that: *there is a constant b such that whenever H is a finite soluble group, with a subgroup N of index $n \geq 2$, and U is an N-module of dimension a, over an arbitrary field, then $d_H(U') \leq \lfloor abn/\sqrt{\log n} \rfloor$ for each submodule U' of the induced module $U \uparrow_N^H$.* In this section, we improve this result as follows

Theorem 3.1. *Let H be a finite soluble group, let N be a subgroup of H of index $n \geq 2$, let U be an N-module of dimension a over an arbitrary field, and let U' be a submodule of the induced module $U \uparrow_N^H$. Also, let $K := \mathrm{core}_H(N)$, and denote by $\chi = \chi(H, N, U)$ the number of orbits of K on the non-identity elements of U. Then*

$$d_H(U') \leq \min\{a, \chi\}\, \widetilde{\omega}(n) \leq \min\{a, \chi\} \lfloor bn/\sqrt{\log n} \rfloor$$

where $b := \sqrt{2/\pi}$. Furthermore, if $n = p^t$, with p prime, then $d_H(U') \leq \min\{a, \chi\} \lfloor bp^t/\sqrt{t(p-1)} \rfloor$.

We remark that the number χ in Theorem 3.1 is irrelevant when K has infinitely many orbits on the nonidentity elements of U.

Here, the number $\widetilde{\omega}(n)$ is defined as follows: for a positive integer n with prime factorisation $n = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \ldots p_t^{r_t}$, set $\omega(n) := \sum r_i$, $\omega(n) := \sum r_i p_i$, $K(n) := \omega_1(n) - \omega(n)$ and

$$\widetilde{\omega}(n) = \frac{n}{2^{K(n)}} \binom{K(n)}{\left\lfloor \frac{K(n)}{2} \right\rfloor}$$

3.1 Antichains in partially ordered sets

Of course, part of Theorem 3.1 states that the constant b in the theorem of Bryant, Kovács and Robinson can be taken as $b = \sqrt{2/\pi}$. In this subsection, we prove this assertion.

Let $P = (P, \preccurlyeq)$ be a finite partially ordered set, and let $w(P)$ denote the *width* of P. That is, $w(P)$ is the maximum cardinality of an antichain in P. Suppose now that, with respect to $\preccurlyeq$, P is a cartesian product of chains, and write $P = P_1 \times P_2 \times \ldots \times P_t$, where each P_i is a chain of cardinality k_i. Then P is poset-isomorphic to the set of divisors of the positive integer $m = p_1^{k_1-1} p_2^{k_2-1} \ldots p_t^{k_t-1}$, where $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_t$ are distinct primes. We make this identification without further comment.

Next, recall that each divisor d of m can be written uniquely in the form $d = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \ldots p_t^{r_t}$, where $0 \leq r_i \leq k_i - 1$, for each i, $1 \leq i \leq t$. In this case, the *rank* of d is defined as $r(d) = \sum_{i=1}^t r_i$. For $0 \leq r \leq K := \sum_{i=1}^t (k_i - 1)$, let R_k denote the set of elements of P of rank k; clearly R_k is an antichain in P. In fact, it is proved in [9] that $w(P) = \max |R_k|$. This maximal rank set occurs at $k = \lfloor K/2 \rfloor$, and hence, by Theorem 2 of [2], we have

$$w(P) \leq \frac{n}{2^K} \binom{K}{\lfloor K/2 \rfloor}$$

where $n = |P| = \prod_{i=1}^{t} k_t$ (note that equality occurs here when t is even, and each k_i is 2, so this upper bound is best possible). Stated more concisely, we have

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that a partially ordered set P, of cardinality $n \geq 2$, is a cartesian product of the chains P_1, P_2, …, P_t, where each P_i has cardinality k_i. Then $w(P) \leq \frac{n}{2^K}\binom{K}{\lfloor K/2 \rfloor}$, where $K = \sum_{i=1}^{t}(k_i - 1)$.*

We can now prove the claim made at the beginning of the section: that the constant b in the theorem of Bryant, Kovács and Robinson can be taken as $b = \sqrt{2/\pi}$.

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that a partially ordered set P, of cardinality $n \geq 2$, is a cartesian product of t chains. Then $w(P) \leq \lfloor bn/\sqrt{\log n} \rfloor$, where $b := \sqrt{2/\pi} = 0.79788\ldots$. Furthermore, if each chain has the same cardinality p, then $w(P) \leq \lfloor bp^t/\sqrt{t(p-1)} \rfloor$.*

Proof. [1] We first make an elementary observation: let K be a positive integer. We claim that

$$\binom{K}{\lfloor K/2 \rfloor} \leq \frac{b2^K}{\sqrt{K}} \tag{3.1}$$

First consider the case where $K = 2t$ ($t \in \mathbb{N}$), and note that

$$2t\left[\binom{2t}{t}\frac{1}{4^t}\right]^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{4}\right)\left(\frac{5}{4}\frac{5}{6}\right)\cdots\left(\frac{2t-1}{2t-2}\frac{2t-1}{2t}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\prod_{j=2}^{t}\left(1+\frac{1}{4j(j-1)}\right)$$

By Wallis' Formula, the expression in the middle converges to $2/\pi$. Hence, since the expression on the right is increasing, we have $2t\left[\binom{2t}{t}\frac{1}{4^t}\right]^2 \leq 2/\pi$, that is, $\binom{2t}{t} \leq b4^t/\sqrt{2t}$, as claimed. If K is odd, we have $\binom{K}{\lfloor K/2 \rfloor} = \frac{1}{2}\binom{K+1}{\lfloor (K+1)/2 \rfloor}$, and (3.1) follows from the even case above.

Now, let t, k_i (for $1 \leq i \leq t$), and K be as in Lemma 3.2. Then, using (3.1), we have

$$w(P) \leq \frac{n}{2^K}\binom{K}{\lfloor K/2 \rfloor} \leq \frac{n}{2^K}\left(\frac{b2^K}{\sqrt{K}}\right) = \frac{bn}{\sqrt{K}}$$

If each $k_i = p$, then $K = t(p-1)$, and the second part of the claim follows. Since $K = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(k_i - 1) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\log k_i = \log n$, the first part also follows, and the proof is complete. ☐

3.2 The proof of Theorem 3.1

Here, we show how to use Lemma 3.2 to prove Theorem 3.1. First, we collect some key results from Section 5 of [4].

Lemma 3.4 (**[4], Lemma 5.1**)**.** *Let N be a subgroup of index $n \geq 2$ in a finite soluble group H. Then there is a right transversal T to N in H, with a partial order $\preccurlyeq$ and a full order $\leqslant$, satisfying the following properties:*

(i) Whenever t_1, t_2, $t_3 \in T$ with $t_1 < t_2 \preccurlyeq t_3$, we have $t_4 < t_3$, where t_4 is the unique element of T such that $t_1t_2^{-1}t_3 \in Nt_4$.

---

[1] The idea for this bound arose from a discussion at the url http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/58560/elementary-central-binomial-coefficient-estimates.

(ii) *With respect to this partial order, T is a cartesian product of r chains, of length p_1, p_2, $\ldots$, p_r, where $r = \omega(n)$, and p_1, p_2, $\ldots$, p_r denote the (not necessarily distinct) prime divisors of n.*

Let H, N, and T be as above, and let U be a finite dimensional right N-module, over an arbitrary field. We shall now characterize the elements of the induced module $U\uparrow^H$ in the same way as in [4, Section 5]; we repeat the details here for the readers benefit.

Recall that we may write $U\uparrow^H = \bigoplus_{t\in T} U\otimes t$. Thus, each element v in $U\uparrow^H$ may be written as $v = \sum_{t\in T} u(v,t)\otimes t$, with uniquely determined coefficients $u(v,t)$ in U. For $v \neq 0$, the 'height' of v, written $\tau(v)$, is defined to be the largest element of the set $\{t \in T : u(v,t) \neq 0\}$, with respect to the full order $\leqslant$. Also, we define $\mu(v) = u(v, \tau(v))$. Thus, $\mu(v)$ is nonzero, and $u(v,t) = 0$ whenever $t > \tau(v)$. The element $\mu(v)\otimes\tau(v)$ is referred to as the 'leading summand' of v.

In this language, Lemma 3.4(i) states that if the height of v is t_2, and if $t_2 \preccurlyeq t_3$, then the height of $vt_2^{-1}t_3$ is t_3. Further, the leading summand of $vt_2^{-1}t_3$ is $\mu(v)\otimes t_3$. This formulation leads to an important technical point.

Lemma 3.5. *Let U and H be as above, and let $K = \mathrm{core}_H(N)$. Each submodule of the induced module $U\uparrow^H$ has a generating set V with the following property: no subset W of V, whose image $\tau(W)$ in T is a chain with respect to the partial order $\preccurlyeq$, can have more than* $\min(\dim U, \chi)$ *elements, where χ denotes the number of orbits of K on the nonzero elements of U.*

Proof. Lemma 5.2 of [4] deals with the $\dim U$ case, so we just need to prove that each submodule of the induced module $U\uparrow^H$ has a generating set V with the property that no subset W of V, whose image $\tau(W)$ in T is a chain with respect to the partial order $\preccurlyeq$, can have more than χ elements. Clearly, we may assume that χ is finite.

To this end, let U' be a submodule of $U\uparrow^H$, and let V be a finite generating set of U' consisting of nonzero elements. Suppose that v and w are elements of V whose images $\tau(v)$ and $\tau(w)$ are comparable (with respect to $\preccurlyeq$) in T; say $\tau(v) \preccurlyeq \tau(w)$. Suppose that $\mu(w)$ and $\mu(v)$ lie in the same K-orbit of U, and let $g \in K$ such that $\mu(w)^g = \mu(v)$. Since K is normal in H, the leading summand of w^g is $\mu(v)\otimes\tau(w)$. Thus, by replacing w with w^g, we may assume that $\mu(v) = \mu(w)$. Then, using Lemma 3.4, we see that $v^{\tau(v)^{-1}\tau(w)}$ has the same leading summand as w. Write $v^{\tau(v)^{-1}\tau(w)} = x + \mu(v)\otimes\tau(w)$, and $w = y + \mu(v)\otimes\tau(w)$, for x, $y \in U\uparrow^H$, and let $u = y - x$. Then, we see that, as in the proof of Lemma 5.2 in [4], either $u = 0$, and $w = v^{\tau(v)^{-1}\tau(w)}$ may be omitted from V, or $u \neq 0$, and $w = u + v^{\tau(v)^{-1}\tau(w)}$ may be replaced in V by the element u, which has height strictly preceding $\tau(w)$ in the full order $\leqslant$. This way, the resulting set obtained from V still generates U'. The procedure outlined above can only be carried out a finite number of times, and when it can no longer be repeated, the generating set has the required property. ☐

Before proving Theorem 3.1, we note the following easy consequence of Dilworth's Theorem ([10], Theorem 1.1):

Lemma 3.6. *If a partially ordered set P has no chain of cardinality greater than r, and no antichain of cardinality greater than s, then P cannot have cardinality greater than rs.*

Proof of Theorem 3.1. After replacing the parameters a and $\lfloor bn/\sqrt{\log n}\rfloor$ by $\min\{a,\chi\}$ and $\widetilde{\omega}(n)$ respectively, the proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 1.5 in [4]. $\square$

4 Induced modules for finite groups: the general case

For a prime p, and a positive integer n, recall that n_p denotes the highest power of p dividing n. For $n \geq 2$, put

$$E(n,p) := \min\left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{bn}{\sqrt{(p-1)\log_p n_p}} \right\rfloor, \frac{n}{\operatorname{lpp}(n/n_p)} \right\} \text{ and } E_{sol}(n,p) := \min\{\widetilde{\omega}(n), n_p\}$$

where we take $\left\lfloor bn/\sqrt{(p-1)\log_p n_p}\right\rfloor$ to be ∞ if $n_p = 1$. Clearly, $E_{sol}(n,p) \leq E(n,p)$. The main result of this section can now be stated as follows.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a finite group, H a subgroup of G of index $n \geq 2$, F a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and V a H-module of dimension a over F. Let $K := \operatorname{core}_G(H)$, and let $\chi := \chi(G,H,V)$ be as defined in Theorem 3.1. Also, for a prime $r \neq p$, let P_r be a Sylow r-subgroup of G, and let $\chi_1 = \chi_1(G,H,V,r)$ be the number of orbits of $K \cap P_r$ on the non-zero elements of V. Let S be a submodule of the induced module $V\uparrow^G$.*

- *(i) If G is soluble, then $d_G(S) \leq \min\{a,\chi_1\}\, n_p$.*
- *(ii) Let N be a soluble subgroup of G, and let t_i, $1 \leq i \leq m$, be the sizes of the orbits of N on the set of right cosets of H in G. Then $d_G(S) \leq a\sum_{i=1}^m E_{sol}(t_i,p)$.*
- *(iii) $d_G(S) \leq \min\{a,\chi_1\}\, n/n_r$.*
- *(iv) If K is soluble and $n_p > 1$, then $d_G(S) \leq \chi\lfloor bn/\sqrt{\log_p n_p}\rfloor$.*
- *(v) $d_G(S) \leq aE(n,p)$.*

Proof. The proof is based on the idea of Lucchini used in the proof of Lemma 4 in [21]. For a subgroup Q in G, choose a full set $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m\}$ of representatives for the (H,Q)-double cosets in G. Also, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, put $t_i = |Q : Q \cap H^{x_i}|$ (note that, by H^{x_i}, we mean, as usual, the conjugate subgroup $x_i^{-1}Hx_i$). By Mackey's Theorem (Proposition 6.20 of [11]), we have

$$W = (V\uparrow^G)\downarrow_Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_{x_i} \tag{4.1}$$

where $V_{x_i} \cong (V \otimes x_i)\uparrow^Q$ (recall that $V \otimes x_i$ is a module for $Q \cap H^{x_i}$, over F, with action $(v \otimes x_i)^{h^{x_i}} = v^h \otimes x_i$, for $v \in V$, and $h \in H$). Comparing dimensions of the left and right hand side of (4.1) above, we get

$$an = \dim W = \sum_{i=1}^m a|Q : Q \cap H^{x_i}| = a\sum_{i=1}^m t_i$$

so that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i = n$. Clearly, the t_i represent the sizes of the orbits of Q on the right cosets of H in G.

Next, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, set $V_i := V_{x_1} \oplus V_{x_2} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{x_i}$. Then, we have a chain $0 = V_0 \leq V_1 \leq \ldots \leq V_m = W$ of Q-submodules of W. This allows us to define the chain of Q-modules $0 = S_0 \leq S_1 \leq \ldots \leq S_m = S$, where $S_i := S \cap V_i$. Furthermore, in this case, the quotient S_i/S_{i-1} is (isomorphic to) a Q-submodule of V_{x_i}. Hence

$$d_G(S) \leq d_Q(S) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_Q(S_i/S_{i-1}) \tag{4.2}$$

Write $n_p := p^\beta$ and $n_r := r^\alpha$. If G is soluble, take Q to be a p-complement in G; in the general case, take $Q := P_r$. Also, write $n = p^\beta r^\alpha s$ and $|H| = p^\delta r^\gamma t$, where $|H|_p = p^\delta$, $|H|_r = r^\gamma$. Then $|Q| = r^{\alpha+\gamma} st$ if G is soluble, and $|Q| = r^{\alpha+\gamma}$ in the general case. Hence, $t_i = |Q : Q \cap H^{x_i}| \geq r^\alpha s$ if G is soluble, and $t_i = |Q : Q \cap H^{x_i}| \geq r^\alpha$ in the general case. Note that, since $K \cap Q \leq \mathrm{core}_Q(Q \cap H^{x_i})$, we have $\chi(Q, Q \cap H^{x_i}, V \otimes x_i) \leq \chi_1$, for each i. Also, since $r \neq p$, each V_{x_i} is a semisimple $F[Q]$-module, so $d_Q(S_i/S_{i-1}) \leq d_Q(V_{x_i}) \leq \min\{a, \chi(Q, Q \cap H^{x_i}, V \otimes x_i)\} \leq \min\{a, \chi_1\}$. Thus

$$d_G(S) \leq \min\{a, \chi_1\}\, m$$

by (4.2). Part (i) now follows when G is soluble, since $n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i \geq mn/n_p$. In the general case, $n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i \geq mr^\alpha$, and part (iii) follows. Part (ii) follows from (4.2), by taking $N = Q$ and applying part (i) and Theorem 3.1.

We now prove (iv) and (v). So assume that $\beta > 0$, and let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G. This time, take $Q = KP$ (which is a soluble subgroup of G, since K is soluble and normal in G). Then $t_i = |Q : Q \cap H^{x_i}| = |QH^{x_i}|/|H^{x_i}| \geq |PH^{x_i}|/|H^{x_i}| = |P : P \cap H^{x_i}| \geq p^\beta$, for each i. Since $K \leq \mathrm{core}_Q(Q \cap H^{x_i})$, we have, for each i, $\chi(Q, Q \cap H^{x_i}, V \otimes x_i) \leq \chi(G, H, V) = \chi$. Hence, (4.2) and Theorem 3.1 give

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(S) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \min\{a, \chi\} \lfloor bt_i/\sqrt{\log t_i} \rfloor \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \min\{a, \chi\} \lfloor bt_i/\sqrt{\beta} \rfloor &\leq \min\{a, \chi\} \lfloor \sum_{i=1}^{n} bt_i/\sqrt{\beta} \rfloor \\ &= \min\{a, \chi\} \lfloor bn/\sqrt{\beta} \rfloor \end{aligned}$$

and this proves (iv). Finally, (v) follows from (iii) and (iv). □

Using the definition of $E(n, p)$, and Lemma 2.8, we immediately deduce the following.

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be a finite group, let H be a subgroup of G of index $n \geq 2$, let V be a module for H over a field F of characteristic $p > 0$, and let S be a submodule of the induced module $V \uparrow^G$.*

(i) If $n_p \geq \sqrt{n}$, then $d_G(S) \leq aE(n, p) \leq a\lfloor b_1 n/\sqrt{\log n} \rfloor$ (recalling that $b_1 = \sqrt{4/\pi}$);

(ii) If $n_p \leq \sqrt{n}$, then $d_G(S) \leq aE(n, p) \leq \lfloor 2an/(c' \log n) \rfloor$.

In particular,

$$d_G(S) \leq aE(n,p) \leq \begin{cases} \lfloor 2an/(c' \log n) \rfloor, & \text{if } 2 \leq n \leq 1260, \\ \lfloor ab_1 n/\sqrt{\log n} \rfloor, & \text{if } n \geq 1261. \end{cases}$$

The following is also immediate, from part (i) of Lemma 4.1.

Corollary 4.3. *Let G be a finite group, let H be a subgroup of G of index $n \geq 2$, let V be a module for H over a field F of characteristic $p > 0$, and let S be a submodule of the induced module $V \uparrow^G$. If G contains a soluble subgroup N, acting transitively on the set of right cosets of H in G, then $d_G(S) \leq aE_{sol}(n,p)$.*

5 An application to wreath products

The results of Section 4 may be partially generalized, and applied to the wreath product $W = R \wr S_n$, of a finite group R with a symmetric group of finite degree. Let $B := R_1 \times R_2 \times \ldots \times R_n$ be the base group of W, and let $\pi : W \to S_n$ be the projection onto the top group. Also, since $N_W(R_i) \cong R_i \times (R \wr S_{n-1})$, we may consider the projection maps $\rho_i : N_W(R_i) \to R_i$. The generalization can now be stated as follows.

Lemma 5.1. *Let R be a finite group, let G be a subgroup in the wreath product $W := R \wr S_n$ ($n \geq 2$) satisfying*

(1) $\pi(G)$ is a transitive subgroup of S_n, and;

(2) $\rho_i(N_G(R_i)) = R_i$ for all i.

Also, let $p_i^{a_i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$, be the orders of the abelian chief factors of R, let K be the intersection of G with the base group of W, and let $A_K := \rho_1(K) \trianglelefteq R_1$. Let Y be a subgroup of G with transitive top projection, and take D to be E_{sol} if Y contains a subgroup with soluble and transitive top projection, and $D := E$ in general. Then

(i) $d(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r a_i D(n,p_i) + c_{nonab}(R) + d(\pi(G))$.

(ii) Suppose that R is soluble, that $n = 2^m 3$, and that $\pi(G)$ has an insoluble, minimally transitive subgroup $\widetilde{G}$. Let e, r and t be as in Corollary 2.7, and let $p := 2^e - 1$. Then $d(G) \leq a \sum_{k=0}^r 2^t \binom{r}{k} E_{sol}(3p^k, 2) + d(\pi(G))$, where $a := a(R)$.

(iii) Suppose that R is soluble. Then $d_Y(K) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r a_i' D(n,p_i)$, where ${a_i}'$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, denotes the number of composition factors of A_K of order p_i.

For the remainder of this section, we adopt the notation of Lemma 5.1. Note that, since $\pi(G)$ is transitive, K is an iterated subdirect product of n copies of A_K (that is, $\rho_i(K) = A_K$ for all i). Thus, if K is nontrivial, then so is A_K, and hence R, since $A_K \trianglelefteq R$.

Suppose now that R has an abelian minimal normal subgroup L, and identify L as a subgroup in R_1. Also, let H be a subgroup in W with transitive top projection, and let $N := N_H(R_1)$

denote the normalizer of R_1 in H (which can also be viewed as the stabilizer in H of the block corresponding to R_1, in the natutal imprimitive action of W). Since $\pi(H)$ is transitive, we have $|H : N| = n$. Further, L is normalized by N, and hence may be viewed as a module for N over a finite prime field.

Now, again, since $\pi(H)$ is transitive, B is the direct product of the distinct H-conjugates of R_1; let B_L denote the direct product of the distinct H conjugates of L. Then, as a H-module, B_L is generated by the N-module L, and $\dim B_L = |H : N| \dim L$. Thus, (see [1, page 56, Corollary 3]) B_L is isomorphic to the H-module induced from the N-module L.

Note in particular that, if H is chosen to be a subgroup of G minimal with the property that $KH = G$, then $\pi(H) = \pi(G)$ is transitive, and $K \cap H$ is contained in the Frattini subgroup of H, so $d(H) = d(\pi(H)) = d(\pi(G))$.

We also need the following standard terminology: if X is a finite group and $H, K \leq X$ are subgroups, then K is said to be a *H-group* if K is normalized by H. The *H-group generated by* the subset $S \subseteq X$ is the smallest H-subgroup of X containing S. Finally, if H normalizes K, we denote by $d_H(K)$ the minimal number of elements required to generate K as a H-group. Clearly, if F is a subgroup of H, then $d_H(K) \leq d_F(K)$.

Before proceeding to the proof of Lemma 5.1, we need the following theorem of Lucchini.

Theorem 5.2 (**[18] and [20]**). *Let M be a proper minimal normal subgroup of the finite group G. Then $d(G) \leq d(G/M) + 1$. Furthermore, if M is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G, then $d(G) \leq \max\{2, d(G/M)\}$.*

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Clearly we may assume that K is nontrivial. Hence, using the discussion above, A_K and R are nontrivial. Choose a subgroup H of G minimal with the property that $KH = G$. Then $\pi(G) = \pi(H)$. Hence, if G contains a subgroup with soluble and transitive top projection, then H has a subgroup F such that $\pi(F) = F/F \cap K$ is soluble and transitive. Since $F \cap K \leq H \cap K$ and $H \cap K$ is nilpotent, it follows that F is soluble. Then $d(G) \leq d_F(K) + d(\pi(G))$. Let L be a minimal normal subgroup of R contained in A_K, identify L as a subgroup of R_1, and let $M := G \cap B_L \leq K$, where B_L is as in the discussion preceding Theorem 5.2.

If L is abelian, of order $p_1^{a_1}$, then using the discussion preceding Theorem 5.2, M may be viewed as a submodule of the F-module induced from the N-module L, where $N := N_F(R_1)$. Since this N-module has dimension a_1 over the field $\mathbb{F}_{p_1}$, and $|F : N| = n$ (since $\pi(F)$ is transitive), it follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$d_F(M) \leq a_1 D(n, p_1) \tag{5.1}$$

We first prove (i) by induction on $|R|$. Note that G/M is a subgroup in the wreath product $W_L := (R/L) \wr S_n$ satisfying (1) and (2) in Lemma 5.1, so the inductive hypothesis gives

$$d(G/M) \leq \sum_{i=e}^{r} a_i D(n, p_i) + c_{nonab}(R/L) + d(\pi(G)) \tag{5.2}$$

Here, we assume that $|L| = p_1^{a_1}$, and hence $e := 2$, if L is abelian. Otherwise, L is nonabelian and $e := 1$.

If L is abelian, then since $d(G) \leq d_F(M) + d(G/M)$, part (i) follows from (5.1) and (5.2) above. So assume that L is a direct product of a copies of a nonabelian simple group T. Since M normalizes R_1, and $M \trianglelefteq G$, we have $\rho_1(M) \trianglelefteq \rho_1(N_G(R_1)) = R_1$. But also, $\rho_1(M)$ is contained in L_1, which is a minimal normal subgroup of R_1, and hence either $M = 1$, or $\rho_i(M) = L_i$, for each i (since $\pi(G)$ is a transitive subgroup of S_n, we have $\rho_i(M) \cong \rho_1(M)$ for each i). Clearly we may assume that M is nontrivial, and hence M is an iterated subdirect product of $L_1 \times L_2 \times \ldots \times L_n$. In particular, M is an iterated subdirect product of an copies of T. Now, suppose that S is a nontrivial normal subgroup of G contained in M. Then, again, S normalizes R_1, and it follows, as above, that S is an iterated subdirect product of an copies of T. Thus, we must have $S = M$, and M is a minimal normal subgroup of G. Hence, Theorem 5.2 gives $d(G) \leq d(G/M) + 1$, and (i) again follows, from (5.1) and (5.2) above.

For the remainder of the proof, assume that R is soluble. Suppose first that $n = 2^m 3$, and that $\pi(G) = \pi(H)$ has an insoluble, minimally transitive subgroup $\widetilde{G}$. Let e, p, r, t, and t_1 be as in Corollary 2.7, and let $\widetilde{N}$ be a subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$ with $\binom{r}{k}2^{t_1}$ orbits of size $3p^k \times 2^{t-t_1}$, for each $0 \leq k \leq r$. Let F be a subgroup of H with $\pi(F) = \widetilde{N}$. Then, as in the first paragraph, F is soluble, and $d(G) \leq d_F(M) + d(G/M)$. Note also that $\widetilde{\omega}(2^i j) \leq 2^i \widetilde{\omega}(j)$ for any positive integer j. Part (ii) now follows easily, by using induction on $|R|$ as in the third paragraph above, and by applying Lemma 4.1 part (i).

Finally, assume that R is soluble and let Y be a subgroup of G with transitive top projection. Note that $d_Y(K) \leq d_Y(M) + d_{YM/M}(K/M)$, that K/M is the intersection of $G/M \leq W_L = (R/L) \wr S_n$ with the base group of W_L, and that $A_K/L = \rho_1(K/M)$, where ρ_1 this time denotes the projection $N_{G/M}(R_1/L) \to R_1/L$. Part (ii) now follows easily by using (5.1) above, and induction on $|R|$. ☐

We note the following consequence of Lemma 5.1, which will be useful when the number of A_K-conjugacy classes in a minimal normal subgroup of R is small.

Corollary 5.3. *Let R be a finite soluble group, and let G, K and A_K be as in Lemma 5.1. Also, let L be a minimal normal subgroup of R of order p^a (for p prime), let X be a subgroup of A_K, and let χ be the number of orbits of X in its action on the nonidentity elements of L. Finally, let r be a prime different from p, let Q be a Sylow r-subgroup of X, and let χ_1 be the number of orbits of Q on the nonidentity elements of L. Let $p_i^{a_i}$ be the orders of the chief factors of R/L. Then*

(i) $d(G) \leq \min\left\{\chi\lfloor n/\sqrt{\log_p n_p}\rfloor + d(X), \chi_1 n/n_r + d(X), aE(n,p)\right\} + \sum_i a_i E(n,p_i) + d(\pi(G))$, *and;*

(ii) $d(G) \leq \min\{\chi\widetilde{\omega}(n) + d(X), \chi_1 n_p + d(X), aE_{sol}(n,p)\} + \sum_i a_i E_{sol}(n,p_i) + d(\pi(G))$ *if G contains a subgroup with soluble and transitive top projection.*

Proof. Let B be the base group of W, so that $K = G \cap B$. Also, let H be a subgroup of G minimal with the property that $KH = G$. Then, as before, $d(H) = d(\pi(G))$. As in the proof of Lemma 5.1, if G contains a subgroup with soluble and transitive top projection, then so does H. In this case, let F be such a subgroup of H. Then F is soluble, since $F \cap K \leq K$ is soluble. If G does not contain such a subgroup, set $F := H$. Also, set D to be E_{sol}, and set $f(n, p, r) := \min \{\widetilde{\omega}(n), \chi_1 n_p\}$ if G contains a subgroup with soluble and transitive top projection; otherwise, set D to be E and $f(n, p, r) := \min \left\{\lfloor n/\sqrt{\log_p n_p}\rfloor, \chi_1 n/n_r\right\}$. By Lemma 5.1, it suffices to prove that

$$d(G) \leq \chi f(n, p, r) + d(X) + \sum_i a_i D(n, p_i) + d(H)$$

Let $t = d(X)$, and let x_1, x_2, …, x_t be elements of K with $X = \langle x_1^{\rho_1}, x_2^{\rho_1}, \ldots, x_t^{\rho_1}\rangle$. Also, let $H_1 := \langle H, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t\rangle$, let $F_1 := \langle F, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t\rangle \leq H_1$, let B_L be the direct product of the distinct H_1-conjugates of L, and let $M := G \cap B_L$. Note that G/M is a subgroup in the base group of $(R/L) \wr S_n$ satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 5.1. It follows, from part (ii) of that lemma, that $d_{F_1 M/M}(K/M) \leq \sum_i a_i D(n, p_i)$. Thus, since $d(G) \leq d_{F_1}(M) + d_{F_1 M/M}(K/M) + d(H_1)$, and $d(H_1) \leq t + d(H)$, it will suffice to prove that

$$d_{F_1}(M) \leq \chi f(n, p, r) \tag{5.3}$$

Let $N := N_{F_1}(L)$, and identify L as a subgroup of R_1. Then, as in the discussion preceding Theorem 5.2, B_L is isomorphic, as an F_1-module, to the F_1-module induced from the N-module L. Moreover, $K \cap F_1$ is contained in $\mathrm{core}_{F_1}(N)$. Hence, since X is contained in the induced action of $K \cap F_1$ on the nonidentity elements of L, we have $\chi(F_1, N, L) \leq \chi$, where $\chi(F_1, N, L)$ is as defined in Lemma 4.1. (5.3) then follows from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.1 parts (i), (iii) and (iv). ☐

The next corollary will be key in our proof of Theorem 1.1 when G is imprimitive with minimal block size 4.

Corollary 5.4. *Let $n \geq 2$, and G be a subgroup in the wreath product $W = R \wr S_n$, where $R = S_4$ or $R = A_4$, satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 5.1. Also, let K be the intersection of G with the base group of W. Then*

$$d(G) \leq 2D(n, 2) + D(n, 3) + 1 + d(\pi(G))$$

where we take D to be E_{sol} or E, according to whether or not G contains a subgroup with soluble and transitive top projection.

Proof. If $A_K < S_4$, then the claim follows immediately from Lemma 5.1 part (ii) ($|A_K|$ divides 12 in that case, since $A_K \trianglelefteq R$). So assume that $A_K = S_4$, and let L be the Klein 4-subgroup of A_K, so that L is the unique minimal normal subgroup of A_K. Let X be a subgroup of A_K of order 3. Then $d(X) = 1$, and X acts transitively on the nonidentitiy elements of L. The result now follows immediately from Corollary 5.3, with $r = 3$. ☐

The next corollary follows immediately from Lemma 5.1 part (i), and the definition of E.

Corollary 5.5. *Let R be a finite group, let p be prime and let $a_{(p)}$ [resp. $a_{(p')}$] be the number of abelian composition factors of R of order p [resp. of p' order]. Let G be a subgroup in the wreath product $R \wr S_n$ $(n \geq 2)$, satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 5.1, and let $0 < \alpha < 1$.*

- *(i) If $n_q \leq n^{\alpha}$ for all primes q which occur as orders of composition factors of R, then $d(G) \leq \lfloor a_{ab}(R)n/((1-\alpha)c' \log n)\rfloor + c_{nonab}(R) + d(\pi(G))$.*
- *(ii) If $n_p \geq n^{\alpha}$, then $d(G) \leq \lfloor a_{(p')}n^{1-\alpha}\rfloor + \lfloor a_{(p)}\sqrt{1/\alpha}bn/\sqrt{\log n}\rfloor + c_{nonab}(R) + d(\pi(G))$.*
- *(iii) If $n_p \leq n^{\alpha}$, then $d(G) \leq \lfloor a_{(p)}n^{1-\alpha}\rfloor + \lfloor a_{(p')}\sqrt{1/\alpha}bn/\sqrt{\log n}\rfloor + c_{nonab}(R) + d(\pi(G))$.*

The bounds obtained in Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 5.5, although useful when the chief length of R is small, are impractical for use in a more general setting. In view of this, we conclude with two bounds in terms of n and $a = a(R)$ only. Both follow immediately from Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 5.1 part (i).

Corollary 5.6. *Let R be a finite group, of composition length $a = a(R)$, and let G be a subgroup in the wreath product $R \wr S_n (n \geq 2)$, satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 5.1.*

- *(i) If $2 \leq n \leq 1260$, then $d(G) \leq \lfloor 2an/(c' \log n)\rfloor + d(\pi(G))$.*
- *(ii) If $n \geq 1261$, then $d(G) \leq \lfloor ab_1 n/\sqrt{\log n}\rfloor + d(\pi(G))$.*

6 The proof of Theorem 1.1

The methods of Section 5 allow us to prove Theorem 1.1 in all but finitely many cases; in this section, we consider the exceptions. We prove the following:

Lemma 6.1. *Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree d, and assume that Theorem 1.1 holds for degrees less than d. Then*

- *(i) The bounds in Table 6.1 below hold;*
- *(ii) The bounds in Table A.3 (Appendix A) hold, and;*
- *(iii) If d and f are as in Table A.3, G is transitive of degree d, and one of the following holds*
  - *(a) G contains a soluble transitive subgroup, or;*
  - *(b) G has less than f 2-blocks,*

  then $d(G) \leq \lfloor cd/\sqrt{\log d}\rfloor$.

Table 6.1

d	$d_{trans}(d) \leq$
48	16
64	20
96	31
128	40
192	57
256	75
384	109
512	145
$2^8 3$	203
2^{10}	271
$2^9 3$	392
2^{11}	523
$2^{10} 3$	738
$2^{11} 3$	1431
$2^{12} 3$	2718
$2^{13} 3$	5292
$2^{14} 3$	10118
2153	19770
$2^{16} 3$	38002
$2^{17} 3$	74467
$2^{18} 3$	143750
$2^{19} 3$	282317
$2^{20} 3$	546854

Whenever G is imprimitive of degree d, we assume, throughout this section, that Δ is a block for G of minimal size $m > 1$, $R := G_{\Delta}^{\Delta}$ is the induced action of the block stabilizer G_{Δ} on Δ, Ω is the set of G translates of Δ, K is the kernel of the action of G on Ω, and $S := G^{\Omega} = G/K$ is the induced action of G on Ω. A structure theorem of Suprunenko (see Theorem 3.3 of [24]) states that, in this case, G may be embedded as a subgroup of the wreath product $W := R \wr S_n$ satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 5.1. Moreover, with π and ρ_i as defined prior to that lemma, we have $S = \pi(G)$, K is the intersection of G with the base group of W, and $A_K := \rho_1(K)$ is the induced action of K on Δ.

Proof of Lemma 6.1. If G is primitive, then the result follows, in each case, from Theorem 2.2. Assume, then, that G is imprimitive of minimal block size $m \geq 2$, and adopt the notation introduced above. Then, using Corollary 2.3, Corollary 5.4 and Lemma 5.1 respectively, we have

$$d(G) \leq n\lfloor \log m \rfloor + d_{trans}(n) \tag{6.1}$$

$$d(G) \leq 2E(n,2) + E(n,3) + 1 + d_{trans}(n) \tag{6.2}$$

$$d(G) \leq \sum_{p \text{ prime}} a_{(p)} D(n,p) + c_{nonab}(R) + d_{trans}(n) \tag{6.3}$$

where $a_{(p)}$ denotes the number of composition factors of R of order p, for p prime, $D := E_{sol}$ if G contains a soluble transitive subgroup, and $D := E$ otherwise.

To bound $d_{trans}(n)$ above, we use Table A.1 if $2 \leq n \leq 32$; otherwise, we use either the previous rows of Tables 6.1 and A.3; or the bound $d_{trans}(n) \leq \lfloor cn/\sqrt{\log n} \rfloor$ (from the hypothesis of the lemma) if n is not in Tables 6.1 or A.3.

We first deal with (i). So assume that $d := 2^u 3$, for $4 \leq u \leq 20$, and suppose first that $m > 16$. Bounding $d_{trans}(n)$ as described in the opening paragraph, the bound at (6.1) gives us what we need in each of the relevant cases, except when $(d, m, n) = (3145728, 24, 131072)$. However, each primitive group of degree 24 is either simple, or has a simple normal subgroup of index 2 (using the MAGMA database). Hence, in this case, Lemma 5.1, together with the hypothesis of the lemma, gives $d(G) \leq E(n,2) + 1 + \lfloor cn/\sqrt{\log n} \rfloor = 52895$.

If $m = 4$, then (6.2) gives the result, in each case. So we may assume that $m \leq 16$ and that $m \neq 4$. In particular, the chief factors of R are listed in Table A.2. Suppose first that either $m > 2$, or $m = 2$ and S contains a soluble transitive subgroup. Then

$$d(G) \leq \sum_{p \text{ prime}} a_{(p)} D(n,p) + c_{nonab}(R) + d_{trans}(n)$$

using (6.3), where $D := E_{sol}$ if $m = 2$, and $D := E$ otherwise. Using the bounds on $d_{trans}(n)$ described in the first paragraph, and taking the chief factors of R from Table A.2, this gives us what we need for each of the relevant triples (d, m, n), with d in the left hand column of Table 6.1, $mn = d$, and $2 \leq m \leq 16$.

So we may assume that $m = 2$ and that S contains no soluble transitive subgroups. Then, Corollary 2.7 implies that $n := 2^{er+t}3$, for some $r \geq 1$, $t \geq 0$ and $e \geq 5$, with $p := 2^e - 1$ prime. Since $4 \leq u \leq 20$, the possibilities for n and the triple (e, r, t) are as follows:

Table 6.2

n	(e,r,t)
96	$(5,1,0)$
192	$(5,1,1)$
384	$(5,1,2)$, $(7,1,0)$
768	$(5,1,3)$, $(7,1,1)$
1536	$(5,1,4)$, $(7,1,2)$
3072	$(5,1,5)$, $(7,1,3)$, $(5,2,0)$
6144	$(5,1,6)$, $(7,1,4)$, $(5,2,1)$
12288	$(5,1,7)$, $(7,1,5)$, $(5,2,2)$
24576	$(5,1,8)$, $(7,1,6)$, $(13,1,0)$, $(5,2,3)$
49152	$(5,1,9)$, $(7,1,7)$, $(13,1,1)$, $(5,2,4)$, $(7,2,0)$
98304	$(5,1,10)$, $(7,1,8)$, $(13,1,2)$, $(5,2,5)$, $(7,2,1)$, $(5,3,0)$
196608	$(5,1,11)$, $(7,1,9)$, $(13,1,3)$, $(5,2,6)$, $(7,2,2)$, $(5,3,1)$
393216	$(5,1,12)$, $(7,1,10)$, $(13,1,4)$, $(17,1,0)$, $(5,2,7)$, $(7,2,3)$, $(5,3,2)$
786432	$(5,1,13)$, $(7,1,11)$, $(13,1,5)$, $(17,1,1)$, $(5,2,8)$, $(7,2,4)$, $(5,3,3)$
1572864	$(5,1,14)$, $(7,1,12)$, $(13,1,6)$, $(17,1,2)$, $(19,1,0)$, $(5,2,9)$, $(7,2,5)$, $(5,3,4)$

By Lemma 5.1 part (ii), we have $d(G) \leq 2^t \sum_{k=1}^{r} \binom{r}{k} E_{sol}(3p^k) + d(S)$, where S is transitive of degree $n = 2^{er+t}3$. Going through each of the values of d in the left hand column of Table 6.1, and each triple (e, r, t) in the right hand column of Table 6.2, with $d/2 = 2^{er+t}3$, we get the required bound each time.

Next, we consider (ii) and (iii). So assume that d is of the form $2^k v$ for $v = 5$ or $v = 15$. Also, let f be the number of 2-blocks in G. If $m > 16$, then (6.1) gives us what we need in each case; if $m = 4$, then (6.2) gives us what we need in each case; and if $2 < m < 16$, or if $m = 2$ and G contains a soluble transitive subgroup, then (6.3) gives us what we need in each case. If $m = 2$, and either $v = 5$ and $2 \leq k \leq 16$, or $v = 15$ and $2 \leq k \leq 14$, then the bound $d(G) \leq E(n, 2) + d_{trans}(n)$ yields the result in each case; if $m = 2$ and G contains a soluble transitive subgroup, then $d(G) \leq E_{sol}(n, 2) + d_{trans}(n)$, and again this suffices in each case. So assume that $m = 2$, that G contains no soluble transitive subgroups, and that $17 \leq k \leq 26$ (if

$v = 5$), or $15 \leq k \leq 35$ (if $v = 15$). Let f_G be the number of 2-blocks in G, so that $0 \leq f_G \leq k$. Then

$$d(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{f_G} E(2^{k-i}5, 2) + d(\widetilde{S}) \tag{6.4}$$

where $\widetilde{S}$ is transitive of degree $2^{k-f_G}v$, by repeatedly applying Lemma 5.1. If $\widetilde{S}$ is primitive of degree $2^{k-f_G}v$, then the bound $d(\widetilde{S}) \leq \lfloor \log (2^{k-f_G}v) \rfloor$ of Theorem 2.2 gives us the required bound in each case. So assume that $\widetilde{S}$ is imprimitive, with minimal block size $r > 2$. Also, write $s := 2^{k-f_G}v/r$. With (m, n) replaced by (r, s), we can now apply the bounds at (6.j) ($1 \leq j \leq 3$), to bound $d(\widetilde{S})$ (note that $d_{trans}(s)$ is bounded above using the methods described in the first paragraph). The bound at (6.1) if $r > 16$; the bound at (6.2) if $r = 4$; or the bound at (6.2) if $2 < r \leq 16$, gives us what we need in each case. That is, we get $d(G) \leq \lfloor cn/\sqrt{\log n} \rfloor$ if $f_G < f$, and we get that the bound in Table A.3 holds otherwise. (We perform these calculations for each possible value of f_G, and each pair (r, s) with $r > 2$ and $2^{k-f_G}v = rs$. This is possible since $f_G \leq k$.) This completes the proof. $\square$

The preparations are now complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof is by induction on d. If G is primitive, then since $\log n \leq cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, for $n \geq 2$, the result follows immediately from Theorem 2.2. This can serve as the initial step.

The inductive step concerns imprimitive G. In this case, G may be viewed as a subgroup of a wreath product $R \wr S_n$, where R is primitive of degree m, $2 \leq m < d$, and $n = d/m$. Moreover, this embedding is done in such a way that G satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 5.1, and hence, the inductive hypothesis, together with the bounds obtained in Corollaries 5.6 and 2.3, give

$$d(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{2an}{c' \log n} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{cn}{\sqrt{\log n}} \right\rfloor \qquad \text{(if } 2 \leq n \leq 1260\text{)} \tag{6.5}$$

$$d(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{ab_1 n}{\sqrt{\log n}} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{cn}{\sqrt{\log n}} \right\rfloor \qquad \text{(if } n \geq 1261\text{)} \tag{6.6}$$

$$d(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{ab_0 n}{\sqrt{\log n}} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{cn}{\sqrt{\log n}} \right\rfloor \qquad \text{(for all } n \geq 2\text{)} \tag{6.7}$$

$$d(G) \leq n \lfloor \log m \rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{cn}{\sqrt{\log n}} \right\rfloor \qquad \text{(for } m \geq 4,\ n \geq 2\text{)} \tag{6.8}$$

respectively, where $a = a(R)$ denotes the composition length of R (note that (6.5) and (6.5) follow from Corollary 5.6, and together imply (6.7), while (6.8) is Corollary 2.3). Recall that we need to prove that $d(G) \leq cmn/\sqrt{\log mn}$.

Suppose first that $m \geq 481$. Then (6.7), together with Theorem 2.1, gives

$$d(G) \leq \frac{([(2 + c_0) \log m - (1/3) \log 24]b_0 + c_1)n}{\sqrt{\log n}}$$

This is less than $cmn/\sqrt{\log mn}$ for $m \geq 481$ and $n \geq 2$, which gives us what we need.

So we may assume that $2 \leq m \leq 480$. Suppose first that $10 \leq m \leq 480$, and consider the function

$$f(e,z,w) = \frac{(eb_1 + c_1)\sqrt{z+w}}{2^z\sqrt{w}}$$

defined on triples of positive real numbers. Clearly when the pair (e,z) is fixed, f becomes a decreasing function of w. We distinguish two sub-cases:

(a) $n \geq 1261$. For each of the cases $10 \leq m \leq 480$, we compute the maximum value $a_{prim}(m)$ of the composition lengths of the primitive groups of degree m, using MAGMA. Each time, we get $f(a_{prim}(m), \log m, \log n) \leq f(a_{prim}(m), \log m, \log 1261) < c$, and the result then follows, in each case, from (6.6).

(b) $2 \leq n \leq 1260$. For each fixed m, $10 \leq m \leq 480$, and each n, $2 \leq n \leq 1260$, we explicitly compute $\min\{\lfloor 2a_{prim}(m)n/(c' \log n)\rfloor, n\lfloor \log m\rfloor\} + \lfloor cn/\sqrt{\log n}\rfloor$. Each time, except when $m = 16$ and $72 \leq n \leq 1260$, this integer is less than or equal to $\lfloor cmn/\sqrt{\log mn}\rfloor$, which, after appealing to the inequalities at (6.5) and (6.8), gives us what we need. If $m = 16$, and $72 \leq n \leq 1260$, we have $d(G) \leq E(7,n,2) + E(2,n,3) + \lfloor cn/\sqrt{\log n}\rfloor$, using Table A.2 and Lemma 5.1 part (i), and this gives the required bound in each case.

Finally, the cases $2 \leq m \leq 9$ are dealt with in Proposition B.1 [Appendix B]. ☐

We conclude with the example mentioned in the introduction, which shows that the bound of Theorem 1.1 is of the right form.

Example 6.2. *Let A be an elementary abelian group of order 2^{2k-1}, and write R for the radical of the group algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[A]$. Also, let $m = \lfloor (2k-1)(p-1)/2\rfloor$, and consider the 2-group $G := R^m \rtimes A$.*

The largest trivial submodule of $\mathbb{F}_2[A]$ is 1-dimensional, while $\dim(R^m) > 1$, by (3.2) of [15]. Hence, the centraliser $C_A(R^m)$ of R^m in A is a proper characteristic subgroup of A; since A is characteristically simple, it follows that $C_A(R^m) = 1$. Thus, $C_G(R^m) = R^m$, so $Z := Z(G) = C_{R^m}(A)$. Again, since the largest trivial submodule of $\mathbb{F}_p[A]$ is 1-dimensional, and Z is nontrivial, it follows that Z has order 2, and hence Z is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G. Let H be a subspace complement to Z in R^m. Then H has codimension 1 in R^m, and hence has index 2^{2k} in G. It is also clear that H is core-free in G, so G is a transitive permutation group of degree 2^{2k}.

Next, note that

$$\sqrt{2k}\binom{2k}{k}\frac{1}{4^k} = \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{4}\right)\left(\frac{5}{4}\frac{5}{6}\right)\cdots\left(\frac{2k-1}{2k-2}\frac{2k-1}{2k}\right)\right]^{1/2} = \left[\frac{1}{2}\prod_{j=2}^{k}\left(1 + \frac{1}{4j(j-1)}\right)\right]^{1/2}$$

As in the proof of Corollary 3.3, the expression in the middle converges to $b = \sqrt{2/\pi}$, by Wallis' formula. Hence, since the expression on the right is increasing, we conclude that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer k such that $\sqrt{2k}\binom{2k}{k}\frac{1}{4^k} \geq b - \epsilon$, that is, $\binom{2k}{k} \geq (b-\epsilon)4^k/\sqrt{2k}$.

Now, the derived subgroup G' *of* G *is* R^{m+1}*, and* $G/G' \cong (R^m/R^{m+1}) \times A$ *is elementary abelian of rank* $\binom{2k-1}{k-1} + 2k - 1$*, again using (3.2) of [15]. Thus, for large enough* k *we have*

$$d(G) = \binom{2k-1}{k-1} + 2k - 1 = \frac{1}{2}\binom{2k}{k} + 2k - 1 \geq \frac{(b-\epsilon)2^{2k}}{2\sqrt{2k}} + 2k - 1$$

Appendices

A Tables of chief factors, and maximum values of $d(G)$ for some transitive groups of small degree

Table A.1		
d	Max. value of $d(G)$ among the transitive groups of degree d	Numbers i such that the max. of $d(G)$ is attained at the group $TransitiveGroup(d,i)$ in the MAGMA database (only included when the maximum value of $d(G)$ is greater than 2)
2	1	
3	2	
4	2	
5	2	
6	2	
7	2	
8	4	[22]
9	3	[5,12,21]
10	3	[27]
11	2	
12	4	[242]
13	2	
14	2	
15	2	
16	6	[197,448,1082,1083,1084,1547]
17	2	
18	4	[89,333,379,380,471,554]
19	2	
20	5	[581,893]
21	3	[64,65,82,95,97,106]
22	2	
23	2	
24	6	[12495,21182,22267,23285,23531,23532,23650,24304]
25	3	[5,9,22,30,33,61,62,70,84,97,109,112]
26	3	[25,43,46,60]
27	6	[894]
28	4	[629,931,936,1153,1158,1300,1305,1448,1832]
29	2	
30	4	[372,636,816,1258,1589,1724,2141,2551,2642,2708,2929,3004,3305,3429,3430,3437,3462,3483,3490,3844,3871,3872,3873,3874,3891,4068,4166,4175,4179,4180,4183,4190,4191,4192,4200,4240,4255,4348,4436,4659,4662,4667,4923,5043,5258,5320]
31	2	
32	10	[1422821,1422822,1514676,2224558,2424619]

Table A.2

degree	Primitive group	Chief factors
2	C_2	C_2
3	A_3	A_3
	S_3	C_2, A_3
4	A_4	A_3, C_2^2
	S_4	C_2^2, A_3, C_2
5	C_5	C_5
	D_{10}	C_5, C_2
	$AGL(1,5)$	C_5, C_2, C_2
	A_5	A_5
	S_5	A_5, C_2
6	$PSL(2,5) \cong A_5$	$PSL(2,5)$
	$PGL(2,5)$	$PSL(2,5)$, C_2
	A_6	A_6
	S_6	A_6, C_2
7	C_7	C_7
	D_{14}	C_7, C_2
	$C_7 \rtimes C_3$	C_7, C_3
	$AGL(1,7)$	C_7, C_3, C_2
	$PSL(2,7)$	$PSL(2,7)$
	A_7	A_7
	S_7	A_7, C_2
8	$AGL(1,8)$	C_2^3, C_7
	$A\Gamma L(1,8)$	C_2^3, C_7, C_3
	$ASL(3,2)$	C_2^3, $PSL(2,7)$
	$PSL(2,7)$	$PSL(2,7)$
	$PGL(2,7)$	$PSL(2,7)$, C_2
	A_8	A_8
	S_8	A_8, C_2
9	$C_3^2 \rtimes C_4$	C_3^2, C_2, $C2$
	$C_3^2 \rtimes D_8$	C_3^2, C_2, C_2, C_2
	$C_3^2 \rtimes Q_8$	C_3^2, C_2, C_2, C_2
	$C_3^2 \rtimes C_8$	C_3^2, C_2, C_2, C_2
	$A\Gamma L(1,9)$	C_3^2, C_2, C_2, C_2, C_2
	$C_3^2 \rtimes SL(2,3)$	C_3^2, C_2, C_2^2, C_3
	$AGL(2,3)$	C_3^2, C_2, C_2^2, C_3, C_2
	$PSL(2,8)$	$PSL(2,8)$
	$P\Gamma L(2,8)$	$PSL(2,8)$, C_3
	A_9	A_9
	S_9	A_9, C_2
12	M_{11}	M_{11}
	M_{12}	M_{12}
	$PSL(2,11)$	$PSL(2,11)$
	$PGL(2,11)$	$PSL(2,11)$, C_2
	A_{12}	A_{12}
	S_{12}	A_{12}, C_2
16	$C_2^4 : 5$	C_5, C_2^4
	$C_2^4 : D_{10}$	C_2, C_5, C_2^4
	$AGL(1,16)$	C_3, C_5, C_2^4
	$(A_4 \times A_4) : C_2$	C_2, C_3, C_3, C_2^4
	$(C_2^4 : C_5).C_4$	C_2, C_2, C_5, C_2^4
	$AGL(1,16) : C_2$	C_3, C_2, C_5, C_2^4
	$(C_2^4.S_3 \times S_3$	C_2, C_2, C_3, C_3, C_2^4
	$(C_2^4.C_3^2) : C_4$	C_2, C_2, C_3^2, C_2^4
	$A\Gamma L(1,16)$	C_2, C_2, C_3, C_5, C_2^4
	$(S_4 \times S_4) : C_2$	C_2, C_2, C_2, C_3^2, C_2^4
	$C_2^4.PSL(4,2)$	$PSL(4,2)$, C_2^4
	$A\Gamma L(2,4)$	$PSL(4,2)$, C_2, C_3, A_5, C_2^4
	$ASL(2,4) : C_2$	$PSL(4,2)$, C_2, A_5, C_2^4
	$AGL(2,4)$	C_3, A_5, C_2^4
	$ASL(2,4)$	A_5, C_2^4
	$C_2^4.S_6$	C_2, A_6, C_2^4
	$C_2^4.A_6$	A_6, C_2^4
	$C_2^4.S_5$	C_2, A_5, C_2^4
	$C_2^4.A_5$	A_5, C_2^4
	$C_2^4.A_7$	A_7, C_2^4
	A_{16}	A_{16}
	S_{16}	C_2, A_{16}

Tables A.1 and A.2 were computed using the databases of primitive and transitive groups in *the computer algebra system MAGMA.*

Table A.3

d	f	$d_{trans}(d) \leq$
$2^3 5$		9
$2^4 5$		18
$2^5 5$		34
$2^6 5$		66
$2^7 5$		130
$2^8 5$		258
$2^9 5$		514
$2^{10} 5$		1026
$2^{11} 5$		2050
$2^{12} 5$		4098
$2^{13} 5$		8194
$2^{14} 5$		16386

Table A.3

d	f	$d_{trans}(d) \leq$
$2^{15} 5$		32770
$2^{16} 5$		65538
$2^{17} 5$	5	130900
$2^{18} 5$	4	257722
$2^{19} 5$	4	504220
$2^{20} 5$	4	984067
$2^{21} 5$	4	1919461
$2^{22} 5$	4	3745164
$2^{23} 5$	5	7312620
$2^{24} 5$	5	14290701
$2^{25} 5$	6	27953017
$2^{26} 5$	7	54725580

Table A.3 ctd

d	f	$d_{trans}(d) \leq$
$2^2 15$		15
$2^3 15$		27
$2^4 15$		52
$2^5 15$		100
$2^6 15$		196
$2^7 15$		388
$2^8 15$		772
$2^9 15$		1540
$2^{10} 15$		3076
$2^{11} 15$		6148
$2^{12} 15$		12292
$2^{13} 15$		24580
$2^{14} 15$		49156
$2^{15} 15$	6	98308
$2^{16} 15$	4	196612
$2^{17} 15$	3	392700
$2^{18} 15$	3	773166

Table A.3 ctd

d	f	$d_{trans}(d) \leq$
$2^{19} 15$	3	1512660
$2^{20} 15$	3	2952202
$2^{21} 15$	3	5758386
$2^{22} 15$	3	11235497
$2^{23} 15$	3	21937865
$2^{24} 15$	3	42872110
$2^{25} 15$	3	83859059
$2^{26} 15$	4	164176748
$2^{27} 15$	4	321692696
$2^{28} 15$	4	630835627
$2^{29} 15$	4	1237980292
$2^{30} 15$	5	2431149936
$2^{31} 15$	5	4777379825
$2^{32} 15$	5	9393534359
$2^{33} 15$	6	18480443646
$2^{34} 15$	7	36376783048
$2^{35} 15$	8	71639170628

B The proof of Theorem 1.1 when G is imprimitive with minimal block size at most 9

Proposition B.1. *Assume that Theorem 1.1 holds for transitive groups of degree* $n < d$, *and let* G *be imprimitive of degree* $d \geq 2$, *with minimal block size* $m \leq 9$. *Then* $d(G) \leq \lfloor cd/\sqrt{\log d} \rfloor$, *where $c = \sqrt{3}/2$, unless G is one of the exceptional cases of Theorem 1.1, in which case, the bounds in Table A.3 hold.*

Proof. Let $2 \leq m \leq 9$, and view G as a subgroup in a wreath product $R \wr S$, satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 5.1, where R is primitive of degree m, and S is transitive of degree $n := d/m$. In considering each of the relevant cases, we take the possible lists of chief factors of R from Table A.2. In each case, we bound $d(S)$ above by using either Table A.1 (if $2 \leq n \leq 32$), Lemma 6.1 (if n is in the left hand column of Table 6.1 or Table A.3), or the hypothesis of the proposition otherwise.

1. $m = 2$. Then Lemma 5.1 gives $d(G) \leq E(n, 2) + d(S)$. Write $n = 2^k q$, where q is odd, and assume first that $n < 10^{66}$. If $\mathrm{lpp}(q) \geq 19$, then $d(G) \leq n/19 + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, using the inductive hypothesis, and this is less than $2cn/\sqrt{\log 2n}$ for $n < 10^{66}$. So assume further that $\mathrm{lpp}(q) \leq 17$. Then q is of the form $q = 3^{l_3}5^{l_5}7^{l_7}11^{l_{11}}13^{l_{13}}17^{l_{17}}$, where $0 \leq l_3 \leq 2$, and $0 \leq l_i \leq 1$, for $i = 5$, 7, 11, 13 and 17. Fix one such q. Then $0 \leq k \leq k_q := \lfloor \log(10^{66}/q) \rfloor$, and by using the upper bounds on $d(S)$ from the inductive hypothesis, or from Table A.1 or Lemma 6.1 where appropriate, we have the upper bound $d(G) \leq E(2^k q, 2) + d(S)$. We repeat this for each of the 96 possible values of q, and each $0 \leq k \leq k_q$. In each case, the upper bound computed gives us what we need.

   Thus, we may assume that $n \geq 10^{66}$. We distinguish two sub-cases.

   (i) $n_2 \geq n^{858/1000}$. Then $E(n, 2) \leq bn/\sqrt{\log n_2} \leq bn\sqrt{1000/858}/\sqrt{\log n}$. Hence, $d(G) \leq bn\sqrt{1000/858}/\sqrt{\log n} + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, and this is less than or equal to $2cn/\sqrt{\log 2n}$ for $n \geq 10^{66}$, as required.

   (ii) $n/n_2 \geq n^{858/1000}$. Then, by Lemma 2.8, we have $E(n, 2) \leq n/(c' \log(n/n_2)) \leq (1000/858)n/c' \log n$, and hence $d(G) \leq (1000/858)n/(c' \log n) + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$. Again, this is less than or equal to $2cn/\sqrt{\log 2n}$, for $n \geq 10^{66}$.

2. $m = 3$. Here, Lemma 5.1 gives $d(G) \leq E(n, 3) + E(n, 2) + d(S)$. Using the bounds for $d(S)$ described above, this gives us what we need whenever $2 \leq n \leq 5577$, and whenever n is one of the exceptional cases of Theorem 1.1. Otherwise, $n \geq 5578$, and we use Corollary 5.5 to distinguish two cases, with $\alpha = 1/3$.

   (i) n_2, $n_3 \leq n^{1/3}$. Then $d(G) \leq 3n/(c' \log n) + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, and this is less than or equal to $3cn/\sqrt{\log 3n}$ for $n \geq 3824$.

   (ii) $n_2 \geq n^{1/3}$, or $n_3 \geq n^{1/3}$. Then $d(G) \leq b\sqrt{3}n/\sqrt{\log n} + \sqrt{n} + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, and this is at most $3cn/\sqrt{\log 3n}$, for $n \geq 5578$.

3. $m = 4$. Here Corollary 5.4 implies that $d(G) \leq 2E(n, 2) + E(n, 3) + 1 + d(S)$. Using the bounds on $d(S)$ described above, this yields the required upper bound in each of the exceptional cases of Theorem 1.1, and whenever $7 \leq n \leq 115062$. When $2 \leq n \leq 6$, G is transitive of degree d, with $d \leq 24$, and the result follows from Table A.1. So assume that

$n \geq 115063$, and that n is not one of those cases listed in Theorem 1.1. Using Corollary 5.5, with $\alpha = 45/100$, we distinguish three cases.

(i) n_2, $n_3 \leq n^{45/100}$. Then $d(G) \leq 1 + (300/55)n/(c' \log n) + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, and this is less than or equal to $4cn/\sqrt{\log 4n}$ for $n \geq 115063$, as needed.

(ii) $n_2 \geq n^{45/100}$. Then $d(G) \leq 2\sqrt{100/45}bn/\sqrt{\log n} + n^{55/100} + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, and this is at most $4cn/\sqrt{\log 4n}$, for $n \geq 82517$.

(iii) $n_3 \geq n^{45/100}$. Then $d(G) \leq \sqrt{100/45}bn/\sqrt{\log n} + 2n^{55/100} + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, which is less than or equal to $4cn/\sqrt{\log 4n}$, for $n \geq 44$. This completes the proof of the theorem in the case $m = 4$.

4. $m = 5$. Table A.2 and Lemma 5.1 give $d(G) \leq E(n, 5) + 2E(n, 2) + d(S)$. Again, this gives us what we need for each n in the range $3 \leq n \leq 552$, and each exceptional n. Also, $n = 2$ implies that G is transitive of degree 10, and the result follows since $d_{trans}(10) \leq 3$ (see Table A.1 [Appendix A]). Thus, we may assume that $n \geq 553$. Applying Corollary 5.5, with $\alpha = 2/5$, yields three cases.

   (i) n_2, $n_5 \leq n^{2/5}$. Then $d(G) \leq 5n/(c' \log n) + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, which is less than or equal to $5cn/\sqrt{\log 5n}$ for $n \geq 553$, as required.

   (ii) $n_2 \geq n^{2/5}$. Then $d(G) \leq 2b\sqrt{5/2}n/\sqrt{\log n} + n^{3/5} + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, and this is no greater than $5cn/\sqrt{\log 5n}$ when $n \geq 139$.

   (iii) $n_5 \geq n^{2/5}$. Then $d(G) \leq b\sqrt{5/2}n/\sqrt{\log n} + 2n^{3/5} + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, which is less than or equal to $5cn/\sqrt{\log 5n}$ for $n \geq 17$.

5. $m = 6$. Here, Lemma 5.1, together with Table A.2 and the inductive hypothesis, gives $d(G) \leq E(n, 2) + 1 + d(S)$. Using the usual bounds on $d(S)$, this is at most $\lfloor 6cn/\sqrt{\log 6n} \rfloor$ for $2 \leq n \leq 1260$, and whenever n is one of the exceptional cases. Otherwise, $n \geq 1261$, and $d(S) \leq cn/\sqrt{\log n}$. Hence, by Corollary 5.6, $d(G) \leq b_1 n/\sqrt{\log n} + 1 + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, which is less than or equal to $6cn/\sqrt{\log 6n}$ for $n \geq 2$. This completes the proof of the theorem in the case $m = 6$.

6. $m = 7$. Here, $d(G) \leq E(n, 2) + E(n, 3) + E(n, 7) + d(S)$, using Table A.2 and Lemma 5.1. Bounding $d(S)$ as described previously, this is at most $\lfloor 7cn/\sqrt{\log 7n} \rfloor$ for each n in the range $2 \leq n \leq 1260$, and each exceptional n. Otherwise, $n \geq 1261$, and by Corollary 5.6, $d(G) \leq 3b_1 n/\sqrt{\log n} + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$. This is less than $7cn/\sqrt{\log 7n}$ for $n \geq 7$, and, again, we have what we need.

7. $m = 8$. Using Table A.2 and Lemma 5.1, $d(G) \leq 3E(n, 2) + E(n, 3) + E(n, 7) + d(S)$. In each of the cases $2 \leq n \leq 272$, and each exceptional case, this bound, together with the bounds on $d(S)$ described above, give us what we need. Thus, we may assume that $n \geq 1261$. Then the inductive hypothesis gives $d(S) \leq cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, and applying Corollary 5.5, with $\alpha = 37/100$, yields three cases.

(i) n_2, $n_5 \leq n^{37/100}$. Then $d(G) \leq (500/63)n/(c' \log n) + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, which is less than or equal to $8cn/\sqrt{\log 8n}$ for $n \geq 273$, as required.

(ii) $n_2 \geq n^{37/100}$. Then $d(G) \leq 3b\sqrt{100/37}n/\sqrt{\log n} + 2n^{63/100} + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, and this is no greater than $8cn/\sqrt{\log 8n}$ when $n \geq 98$.

(iii) $n_5 \geq n^{37/100}$. Then $d(G) \leq 2b\sqrt{100/37}n/\sqrt{\log n} + 3n^{63/100} + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, which is less than or equal to $8cn/\sqrt{\log 8n}$ for $n \geq 27$.

8. $m = 9$. Using Table A.2, Lemma 5.1 implies that $d(G) \leq 4E(n,2)+3E(n,3)+d(S)$. When $3 \leq n \leq 2335$, and when n is one of the exceptional cases, this bound, together with the usual bounds on $d(S)$, give us what we need. If $n = 2$, then G is transitive of degree 18, and the result follows from Table A.1. Otherwise, $n \geq 10499$, and $d(S) \leq cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, using the inductive hypothesis. We now use Corollary 5.5 to distinguish three cases, with $\alpha = 37/100$.

   (i) n_2, $n_3 \leq n^{37/100}$. Then $d(G) \leq (700/63)n/(c' \log n) + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, and this is less than or equal to $9cn/\sqrt{\log 9n}$ for $n \geq 2336$, as needed.

   (ii) $n_2 \geq n^{37/100}$. Then $d(G) \leq 4b\sqrt{100/37}n/\sqrt{\log n} + 3n^{63/100} + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, which is no larger than $9cn/\sqrt{\log 9n}$, whenever $n \geq 1197$.

   (iii) $n_3 \geq n^{37/100}$. Here, $d(G) \leq 3b\sqrt{100/37}n/\sqrt{\log n} + 4n^{63/100} + cn/\sqrt{\log n}$, and this is less than or equal to $9cn/\sqrt{\log 9n}$ for $n \geq 148$.

□

References

[1] Alperin, J.L. *Local Representation Theory.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.

[2] Anderson, I. On primitive sequences. *J. London Math. Soc.* **42** (1967) 137-148.

[3] Bray, J. N.; Holt, D. F.; Roney-Dougal, C. M. *The maximal subgroups of the low-dimensional finite classical groups.* London Math. Soc., Lecture Note Series 407, Cambridge, 2013.

[4] Bryant, R.M.; Kovács, L.G.; Robinson, G.R. Transitive permutation groups and irreducible linear groups. *Quart J. Math.* **46** (1995) 385-407.

[5] Cannon, J.J.; Holt, D.F. The transitive permutation groups of degree up to 32. *Experimental Math.* **17** (2008) 307-314.

[6] Conway, J. H.; Curtis, R. T.; Norton, S. P.; Parker, R. A.; Wilson, R. A. *An ATLAS of Finite Groups.* Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985; reprinted with corrections 2003.

[7] Coutts, H.J.; Quick, M.R.; Roney-Dougal, C.M. The primitive groups of degree less than 4096. *Comm. Algebra* **39** (2011) 3526-3546.

[8] Dalla Volta, F.; Lucchini, A. Generation of almost simple groups. *J. Algebra* **178** (1995) 194-223.

[9] de Bruijn, N.G.; van Ebbenhorst Tengbergen, Ca.; Kruyswijk, D. On the set of divisors of a number. *Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde* **23** (1951) 191-193.

[10] Dilworth, R.P. A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets. *Ann. of Math.* **51(2)** (1950) 161-166.

[11] Doerk, K.; Hawkes, T. *Finite Soluble Groups.* de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.

[12] Erdos, P. On a Theorem of Sylvester and Schur. *J. London Math. Soc.* **9** (1934) 282-288.

[13] Holt, D.F.; Roney-Dougal, C.M. Minimal and random generation of permutation and matrix groups. *J. Algebra* **387** (2013) 195-223.

[14] Hulpke, A. Constructing transitive permutation groups. *J. Symbolic Comput.* **39** (2005) 130.

[15] Kovács, L.G.; Newman, M.F. Generating transitive permutation groups. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2) **39** (1988) 361-372.

[16] Kleidman, P.; Liebeck, M. W. *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups.* CUP, Cambridge, 1990.

[17] Liebeck, M. W.; Praeger, C. E.; Saxl, J. Transitive subgroups of primitive permutation groups. *J. Algebra* **234** (2000) 291-361.

[18] Lucchini, A. Generators and minimal normal subgroups. *Arch. Math* **64** (1995) 273-276.

[19] Lucchini, A. Enumerating transitive finite permutation groups. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **30** (1998) 569-577.

[20] Lucchini, A.; Menegazzo, F. Generators for finite groups with a unique minimal normal subgroups *Rend. Sem. Math. Univ. Padova* **98** (1997) 173-191.

[21] Lucchini, A.; Menegazzo, F.; Morigi, M. Asymptotic results for transitive permutation groups. *Bull. London. Math. Soc.* **32** (2000) 191-195.

[22] Pyber, L. Asymptotic results for permutation groups. *Groups and Computation* DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Computer Sci. **11** (ed. Finkelstein, L. and Kantor, W.M., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1993) 197-219.

[23] Rosser, J.B.; Schoenfeld, L. Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers. *Illinois J. Math.* **6** (1962) 64-97.

[24] Suprunenko, D.A. *Matrix Groups.* Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 45. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1976.